# DEVINE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT



# **IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2021-2022**

The purpose of the district improvement plan is to guide district and campus staff in the improvement of student performance for all student groups in order to attain state standards in respect to the student achievement indicators adopted under section 39.053 of the Texas Education Code.

Reviewed by the Devine ISD DEIC on October 13, 2021 Goals and Objectives approved by the DISD School Board on October 18, 2021

## Contents

District Improvement Plan Cover Page	1
Mission Statement	3
School Board and Administration	4
District Education Improvement Committee (DEIC) Members	5
TEA's Strategic Priorities and Enablers	6
Comprehensive Needs Assessment and District Improvement Plan	7
<ul> <li>Goal 1 – Parent and Community Involvement</li> </ul>	7
Goal 2 - Student Achievement	11
<ul> <li>Goal 3 – Curriculum and Instruction</li> </ul>	9
<ul> <li>Goal 4 – Qualified and Effective Personnel</li> </ul>	24
<ul> <li>Goal 5 – School Climate and Disciplined Environment</li> </ul>	29
2019-2020 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR)	34
Addendum	
DISD Technology Plan	64
Use of Other Resources	66
Overview of State Compensatory Education	67
District SCE Policies and Procedures	69
Campus Level SCE Services	71

# **Devine ISD's Mission Statement:**

# Empowering students to maximize their educational potential and achieve lifetime success

# **BOARD OF TRUSTEES**

Nancy Pepper, President Henry Moreno, Vice President Dr. Keri James, Secretary Carl Brown, Trustee Chris Davis, Trustee Renee Frieda, Trustee Alixana Buvinghausen, Trustee

# **DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION**

Dr. Todd Grandjean, Superintendent
Daryl Wendel, Assistant Superintendent of Personnel, Curriculum, and Safety
Abigail Beadle, Director of Instruction, Improvement, and Programs
Dawn Schneider, Director of Student Services
Valerie Dykstra, Director of Special Education and 504
Shannon Ramirez, Chief Financial Officer

# **CAMPUS ADMINISTRATION**

Derrick Byrd, Devine High School
Kandi Darnell, Devine Middle School
Blain Martin, Devine Intermediate School
Brenda Gardner, J. J. Ciavarra Elementary School

# **DISTRICT EDUCATION IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE (DEIC) MEMBERS**

#### **District Leadership**

Dr. Todd Grandjean	Superintendent
Daryl Wendel	Assistant Superintendent
Abigail Beadle	Director of Instruction, Improvement, Programs
Valerie Dykstra	Director of Special Education and 504
	Chairperson (DHS for 2021-2022)
	Co-Chairperson (DIS for 2021-2022)

#### **Business and Community Representatives**

Lysandra Reyes-Admin

Dan Newburg- Parent Rep.

Martha McCulloch

Julia Hoog

Lisa Conn

#### **Instructional Specialists**

Martha Wall- Community Rep.	Cindy Morales- Morales Realty, A Bushel and a Peck	Nadia Sulaica
	and a reck	Robin Gibson
Veronica Shaefer- Community Rep.	Misty Houston- Thompson Houston Realty	

#### **Elementary Campus**

Mandy Cross-Admin Gail Duffer Jenni Hagdorn Ronda Shelton - Parent Rep.

## <u>Intermediate Campus</u>

#### Middle School Campus

Jeff Stivors -Admin Jennifer Toalson Tiffany Balencia Dianna Jeffers Kim Loeffler-Parent Rep.

ingh school campus
LeeAnn Runnels - Admin
Mark Berg
Delilah Castillo
Evan Eads
Kirk Wagoner- Parent Rep.
Yvette Gerlach- Parent Rep.

**High School Campus** 

# **TEA Strategic Priorities and Enablers**

# Every child, prepared for success in college, a career or the military.

Strategic priorities	Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals	<b>Build a foundation</b> of reading and math	Connect high school to career and college	Improve low- performing schools
	Increase transparency, fairness and rigor in district and campus academic and financial performance			
Enablers	Ensure compliance, effectively implement legislation and inform policymakers			
	(reso	Strengthen organiza urce efficiency, culture,		ips)

#### DISTRICT GOAL 1: PARENT AND COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

Parents and community members will be informed, invested, and involved in supporting the school community to maintain high expectations and high achievement for all students

District Objective A: The district will increase parent and community involvement by including parents and the community in decision-making at the campus and district level.

**District Objective B:** The district will increase parent and community involvement by providing resources to aid families in assisting their students with/reinforcing instruction.

Data Sources Reviewed: Current TAPR Demographics/ Data, PFE Events, Parent Climate Survey, Instructional Technology Suvey, COVID-19 Needs Assessment; Administrative Cabinet Meeting Minutes

Identified Strengths:	Identified Needs:	Identified Root Causes:
Parents/Community feel welcome	Transitioning from involvement to engagement	Lack of understanding
Provide many events throughout the school year	Teach parents how to be engaged, train and give them the tools and information on how to help their child.	parents are busy, schedules, transportation
Strong community participation at the involvement level		transitioning to the newness of education, changing parent attitudes to a partnership
Academically-focused training for parents is provided both in-person and via Connect 20		
Wifi hotspot access provided for parents, families, and the community in lieu of COVID -19		
PFE program tied to positive 18-19 Accountability results under "school progress"		

#### DISTRICT GOAL 1: PARENT AND COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT Summary of Needs

Data shows strong parent/community involvement in extracurricular activities. DISD provides opportunities for parent and community engagement including, but not limited to Math and Science Night, Meet the Teacher Night, Open House, Social Media, Book Fair & Family Night, Veteran's Day Recognition, Family Appreciation Meals, PTOs and booster clubs and more. However, there is still a lack of parent engagement in academic areas (especially in regards to our lower performing students). This is evidenced by a lack of two-way communication between parents and teachers, parent acknowledgment of grades, assignments, homework, etc. Also, difficulty cultivating parent/teacher/student partnerships to support lower performing students weakens this goal. It's possible that we struggle in parental engagement due to: busy schedules of working parents, lack of understanding regarding parents' potential roles in their children's' academic success, and a parent's attitude or lack of confidence in their ability to partner with teachers/child due to their own family history, school experiences, or culture.

	DISTRICT GOAL 1: PAREN	T AND COMMUNITY INVOLVEN	IENT DIP Strategies:	Source/ Prog P=progress, No Progress Complet	NP= s, C=
1	Make an extra effort in the future to invite and on campuses again.	l include parents back onto campus in	order to make them feel welcome	December Evaluation:	
	Resources: ESC Region 20, 19-20 PFE Cohort members, Local; Title I, Part A	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administrators with support from District Administrators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Sign-in sheets, Google Meet screenshots, Google Forms	May Evaluation:	
2	Continue to give parents the option to meet re	motely for their convenience.		December Evaluation:	
	Resources: Teacher and administrator laptops	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administrators and Teachers	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Sign-in sheets, Google Meet screenshots, Google Forms	May Evaluation:	
3	Provide parent training on how to be engaged	with the school and parent/family/sch	ool partnerships.	December Evaluation:	
	Resources: ESC Region 20, 19-20 PFE Cohort members, Local; Title I, Part A	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administrators with support from District Administrators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Sign-in sheets, Google Meet screenshots, Google Forms	May Evaluation:	

Devine ISD District Improvement Plan 8

4	Teach parents current strategies and methods u support their child at both the primary and second	used in the classroom, and provide pare ondary campuses.	ents with tools and resources to help	December Evaluation:
	Resources: ESC Region 20, 19-20 PFE F Cohort members, Local; Title I, Part A	Person(s) Responsible: Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administrators with support from District Administrators, teachers	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Sign-in sheets, Google Meet screenshots, Google Forms	May Evaluation:
5	Train teachers in the value and effectiveness of parent/teacher communication and academic c		est practices for effective	December Evaluation:
	Resources: ESC Region 20, 19-20 PFE F Cohort members, Local; Title I, Part A	Person(s) Responsible: Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administrators with support from District Administrators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Sign-in sheets, Google Meet screenshots, Google Forms; Parent surveys	May Evaluation:
6	Actively recruit parents to serve on SBDM cor	nmittees at all campuses.		December Evaluation:
	Resources: administrators, teacher, parents, F school messenger, Facebook, etc.	Person(s) Responsible: Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administrators with support from District Administrators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation:	May Evaluation:
7	Continue to provide Rosetta Stone access to th licenses are available.	e parents and family members of Eme	rgent Bilingual students as long as	December Evaluation:
		Person(s) Responsible: Director of ederal and Special Programs, Campus Administrators, support from Campus Counselors	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: liscense use dashboard Rosetta Stone	May Evaluation:
8	Continue to provide salaries, access, supplies, volunteer).	and materials for The Parent Place (pa	rent activities/ opportunities to	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Title I, Part A Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Director of Federal Programs, Title I Campus Principals, PFE Coordinator	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Sign in sheets for parent visits and parent trainings, hourly timesheets	May Evaluation:
9	Continue to conduct parent trainings with acad during varying hours of the day to accommoda		as of need for students), and do so	December Evaluation:

	Resources: Devine ISD faculty and staff, local funds, Title I, Part A Federal Funds, Title IV, Part A Federal Funds, Title III, Part A SSA	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Principals, PFE Coordinator	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Sign in sheets, contracts with service providers as applicable	May Evaluation:
1	Continue to provide opportunities for parents 0 Math and Science Night, Meet the Teacher, Op Family Appreciation Meals, PTOs/booster clu	pen House, Book Fair and Family Nig		December Evaluation:
	Resources: Devine ISD faculty and staff, event-specific supplies and marterials, local funds, federal funds	Person(s) Responsible: Campus principals	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation:	May Evaluation:
1	1 Continue to provide wifi hotspot access for pa	rents, families, and the community in	lieu of COVID -19 and/or otherwise	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and federal funds	Person(s) Responsible: Director of Technology, Director of Federal Programs	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Flyers, parent and community feedback, useage tracking if available	May Evaluation:
1	<sup>2</sup> Continue to increase interactions between staf Campuses. (PFE)	f and parents at pick up and drop off a	at Title I, Part A Schoolwide	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Title I, Part A Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Principals	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: parent and student feedback, campus master schedules	May Evaluation:
1	2 Continue to provide monthly food distribution	s in partnership with the San Antonio	Food Bank.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Stadium, volunteers, staff, School Messenger, Title I, Part A Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Director of Special Programs	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: parent and student feedback, campus master schedules	May Evaluation:

#### DISTRICT GOAL 2: STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

#### All students will be encouraged to meet their full educational potential.

**District Objective A:** mClass, benchmark tests, STAAR-Ready, TELPAS, SAT, ACT, and STAAR/EOC passing percentages for all student groups will meet or exceed the local, state's and/or region's passing percentages.

District Objective B: The district will meet or exceed the state and/or region's percentage of students taking the SAT/ACT.

**District Objective C:** The district will strive to increase the percentage of students who attain scores on the STAAR that are equivalent to passing scores on the TSI to meet or exceed the state average.

District Objective D: The District will exceed the state drop-out and completion rate based upon mClass indicators for all student groups.

District Objective E: The District will consistently obtain 96% of students in average daily attendance.

District Objective F: The district will leverage the use of technology in meaningful ways within instructional settings.

District Objective G: The district will provide meaningful, real-world connected learning opportunities for students by offering robust CTE programming.

**Data Sources Reviewed:** 2019-2020 Curriculum Audit; Current practices in Curriculum and Instruction; Most recent STAAR test administration data; txschools.gov accountability website, Most recent TAPR Demographics/ Data, Campus assessment data, Administrative Cabinet Meeting Minutes

Identified Strengths:	<b>Identified Needs:</b>	Identified Root Causes:
EOC English I state/local 68/68; special populations SE 31% & Eco Dis 68% and EL 70%	ELAR All Grades state/local 75/72; special populations SE 40%& Eco Dis 65%significantly below rates	Lack of writing experience; Next group-vertically aligned reading checklist, music and movement; Next group-not enough writing being done, add into daily routine, lack of writing experience
EOC Alg I state/local 85/84; special populations SE 48% & Eco Dis 79% and EL 75%	Math All Grades state/local 82/80; special populations SE 57%& Eco Dis 74%significantly below rates	Need for focus, vision, and guidelines.
EOC Biology state/local 88/87; special populations SE 61% & Eco Dis 85% and EL 89%	Writing All Grades state/local 68/64; special populations SE 31%& Eco Dis 53%significantly below rates	Lack of academic and content vocabulary

Identified Strengths:	Identified Needs: I	dentified Root Causes:
EOC Biology state/local 88/87; special populations SE 61% & Eco Dis 85% and EL 89%	Writing All Grades state/local 68/64; special populations SE 31%& Eco Dis 53%significantly below rates	Lack of academic and content vocabulary
Students that scored equal to or exceeded the passing standard on the TSI for SY 17/18 and 18/19 was 3.7%/8.11%	Science All Grades state/local 81/75; special populations SE 55%& Eco Dis 68%significantly below rates	Lack of access to smaller reading passages and lower reading leveled materials
STAAR Eng 2 EOC - 4000 score	Social Studies All Grades state/local 81/74; special populations SE 43%& Eco Dis 68%significantly below rates	student behaviors interfere with learning
SY 16/17 State/District dropout rate was 1.9/1.5	EOC English II state/local 68/61; special populations SE 26%& Eco Dis 51% & EL 56% significantly below rates	Lack of outside experiences and background knowledge
SY 17/18 State/District dropout rate was 1.9/1.3	EOC US History state/local 93/87; special populations SE 41% & Eco Dis 85% and EL 67%	lack of hands on experiences
SY 16/17 95.5% ADA	TSI- State/District for graduates in SY 17/18 Math 58.2/41.8	difficulty of students with sustained attention and focus
SY17/18 95.3% ADA	TSI - State/District for graduates in SY 17/18 EnglishLA was 46.0%/21.9%.	Negative Attitude towards math
We encourage students to take recommended or Multi-Disciplinary course-work in order to qualify for Texas Grant Scholarship at the collegiate level.	ACT - 5 year trend for graduating students taking the ACT is 12.8%-22.9% with 18/19 SY being 22.9%	Curriculum geared towards STAAR test;
We provide information on financial assistance (vouchers)for eligible students to take SAT/ACT tests, AP exams, and dual credit.	ACT SY 17/18 and SY18/19 English was 56%/39% and 55%/44%	missing real life experiences to connect need
We provide STAAR remediation, RTI, and small group instruction for students in all groups district-wide who are in need of accellerated instruction.	ACT SY 17/18 and SY 18/19 Math was 39%/16% and 38%/16	writing skills vertically in district adversely impacts the student performance

**Identified Strengths:** Identified Needs: **Identified Root Causes:** 18-19 Accountability: Closing the Gaps goal met in all student groups in Math; graduation rate increased expectations for writing differ ACT SY17/18 and SY 18/10 Reading was in all student groups; exceeded state goal of ELLs significantly between the STAAR and the 44%/29% and 44%/34% meeting grade level performance; 80% of state goals TSI, as a more formulaic format. met questioning format on the TSI is different ACT SY 17/18 and SY 18/19 Science was On-site SAT testing now offered at DHS. than their instruction for STAAR and 44%/29% and 44%/34% English classes Although we met our goal (for 19-20) of 95%, we were lower than the state averages Students do not appear to be proficient in for both SY's 16/17 and 17/18 which were writing styles 95.7%/95.4% Intervention programs are needed at the secondary level to target improvement in learning outcomes for hispanic and eco dis extend instruction in Eng 3 & 4 to subgroups in all content areas; this need is address other types of writing, and emphasized in lieu of the learning gaps that questioning strategies have been caused by the COVID 19 Pandemic. English 2 STAAR students are not

English 2 STAAR students are not meeting the Masters level

#### **DISTRICT GOAL 2: STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT Summary of Needs**

Preliminary data suggests that DISD students outperformed the state in many categories in 2021. Our teachers had nearly all students back in their classrooms in 2021, and they did an excellent job of filling gaps. The rest of the state did not necessarily have all of their students back in classrooms, so it is difficult to rely on 2021 comparisons of DISD to the state since the state was at such a disadvantage. In 2019 (the last pre-COVID test administration year), Devine ISD students did not achieve at or above the state levels on standardized measures. By the time students took the Eng 2 EOC, no students were meeting the Masters level. Students do not have enough exposure to a variety of life experiences to help them to have context for advanced writing experiences. Students and staff have adverse feelings towards Math as a subject in general. Student attendance impacts their exposure to curriculum which then impacts their levels of achievement. Teachers have identified a problem with content-specific vocabulary and hands on learning activities and experiences. Lack of background knowledge impacts students' ability to use higher order thinking and exploration skills. Teachers have identified the need for more extensive vertical alignment of the curriculum. The district dropout rate has been decreasing but ideally we would seek a 100% graduation/completion rate, with 0 dropouts. Students with attendance problems are less likely to be successful in their academic and social endeavors.

#### **DISTRICT GOAL 2: STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DIP Strategies:**

Source/ Progress: P=progress, NP= No Progress, C= Complete

1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and Feeral Funds, teachers	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration, counselors, teachers	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: products, feedback from staff and the community, student achievement	May Evaluation:
2	Provide increased academic and career counseling opportunities for students at the secondary levels and specifically target 8th and 9th grade students to ensure they are informed prior to beginning high school; Increase percent participation of Eco Dis students in classes that prepare students for college, careers, or military programs by creating as many opportunities as possible and by actively informing and recruiting each student into at least one such class or pathway.		December Evaluation:	
	Resources: Local Funds, teachers and counselors	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration, counselors, teachers	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: presentations, agendas, graduation plans, feedback from staff and the community, student achievement	May Evaluation:

3	Improve reading and writing outcomes districtwide an reading and writing concurrently and by implementing vertical alignment for k-12 writing, specifically.			December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds, technology/ teacher laptops, time, district curriculum framework	Person(s) Responsible: Director of Curriculum and Instruction, Campus Administration, teachers	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: products, sign-in sheets, feedback from staff and the community, student achievement	May Evaluation:
4	Instructional Leadership: Analyze instruction for areas goals; document progress or lack of progress toward g		inement; provide training aligned with	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and/or federal funds	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administrators with support from District Administrators, Director of Curriculum and Instruction	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: T-TESS documentation, walkthrough documentation, documentation of professional development plans for individual staff, student achievement	May Evaluation:
5	Provide a variety of activities to increase engagement curriculum and opportunities to expand student exper			December Evaluation:
	Resources: Free resources, local and/or federal funds	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administrators with support from District Administrators, Director of Curriculum and Instruction	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: contracts with vendors as appropriate, evidence of the use of virtual programs, documentation of field trips, student and teacher feedback, student achievement	May Evaluation:
6	Provide PD for Growth Mindset.			December Evaluation:
	Resources: "Mindset Kit" website, Region 20, local and federal funds, IDEA-B funds	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Administrators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Sign in sheets/ Google Meet attendance spreadsheets, student achievement, shift in campus cultures measureable via staff, student, and parent surveys.	May Evaluation:
7	Provide PD for working with students who have had t	raumatic events in their experiences.		December Evaluation:
	Resources: Trauma-Informed Classroom Training, local and federal funds, IDEA-B funds	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Administrators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Sign in sheets/ Google Meet attendance spreadsheets, student achievement.	May Evaluation:

8	Provide an alternate educational plan including accelerated instruction for students at risk of dropping out of school.* (PLATO lab, DLC)	December Evaluation:
	(SCE) Resources: Devine Learning Center, PLATO Lab, SCE funds	May Evaluation:
9	Decrease instances of <i>truancy</i> * and increase opportunities for interactions with students and their families to address attendance and dropout challenges. (SCE)	December Evaluation:
	Person(s) Responsible: DAEP/ DLC Resources: DAEP/ DLC Administrator, SCE funds Administrator, campus administrators, SRO officer, teachers SRO	May Evaluation:
10	Provide creative solutions to increase attendance at each campus.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local funds as needed Person(s) Responsible: Campus administrators Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: TAPR attendance indicator	May Evaluation:
11	Identify and implement targeted strategies both district-wide and by campuses, content area teams, departments, grade levels, etc. to move students in all student groups from the Approaches standard on STAAR to the Meets and/or Mastered level; Identify and implement targeted strategies to increase the percentages of Hispanic and educationally disadvantaged students who are meeting state standards.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Planning time, Instructional Strategy Trainings, instructional coaching Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Administration, instructional staff	May Evaluation:
12	Become a one-to-one student to techological device district, starting with Devine High School; leverage instructional technology, programs, and software selectively to increase and enhance learning experiences and increase staff and students' efficiency and engagement.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds, eRate, leverage opportunities to purchase discounted technology Administration, Campus Administration, Teachers Technology. Superintendent, District Administration, Campus Administration, Teachers Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Indication of whether technology is being leveraged selectively and effectively on staff, student, and parent surveys	May Evaluation:
13	Based on student identification through data analysis and other means, continue to provide STAAR remediation, RTI, ancillary programs, small group instruction, accommodations, modifications, summer accelerated programming, curriculum compacting, project-based learning oportunities, and/or accelerated instruction for students in all groups* district-wide who are in need of these services as needed on an individual basis (dyslexia, 504, at-risk, EL, special education, GT, migrant, minority, eco-dis, etc.), and provide staff development* related to these services as necessary.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local, SCE, and Federal Funds Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Administration, instructional staff Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: program useage logs, student achievement	May Evaluation:

14	Continue to provide interventions and strategies across the curriculum district-wide to increase basic writing skills, such as capitalization, punctuation, and grammar/spelling, and continue to provide more writing experiences and varied styles across grade levels.			December Evaluation:
	Resources: Writing Instructional Strategies Across Content Areas	Person(s) Responsible: Campus administration	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Training sign-in sheets, lesson plans, student achievement in writing	May Evaluation:
15	At both district and campus levels, continue to provide resources, and increase expectations of meeting basic s	e more opportunities for teachers to share less skills standards in all areas of instruction vert	sons and strategies to expand teacher ically across grade levels.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Time, local and Federal Funds, District-wide curriculum resource	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Administrators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Sign in sheets, Google Meet Attendance, student acheivement	May Evaluation:
16	Continue to provide access to varied and leveled reading supplemental programs and sites.	ng materials in each grade level via textbooks	s, supplemental materials, and	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local, SCE, and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Campus administrators, textbook committee	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: textbooks, programs, student achievement	May Evaluation:
17	Continue to provide highly-qualified educational aides large and small group settings.	to increase student achievement by providin	g academic support to students in both	December Evaluation:

	Resources: SCE and Title I Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Administrators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Payroll	May Evaluation:	
18	18 Continue to provide parents with resources to help their students to be more successful at each grade level.				
	Resources: Local, SCE, and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Adminstrators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Sign in sheets, Google Meet Attendance, mailouts, student acheivement	May Evaluation:	
19	Continue to provide career awareness of other post-se	econdary options* besides college (technical so	chools, etc.) (Career days, etc.)	December Evaluation:	
	Resources: local funds as needed	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Counselors and Administators, CTE teachers, instructional staff	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Event documentation, Remind records, School Messenger Emails, bulletin boards, etc.	May Evaluation:	
20	Continue to provide information on financial assistant continue to provide on-site SAT testing opportunities	ce* (vouchers)for eligible students to take SAT	ACT tests, AP exams, and dual credit;	December Evaluation:	
	Resources: voucher sources	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Counselors and Administators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: increased numbe of students testing under vouchers	May Evaluation:	

21	Continue to encourage students to take recommended the collegiate level.	or Multi-Disciplinary course-work in order to	qualify for Texas Grant Scholarships* at	December Evaluation:
	Resources: handouts, etc.	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Counselors and Administators	Timeline: August August - June; Evaluation: 4 -year planning meetings, Remind records, School Messenger Emails, bulletin boards, etc.	May Evaluation:
22	Increase career readiness* by continuing to create and accredited college), and by continuing to incorporate t	maintain CTE programs that provide vocation echnology application and presentation skills	nal certifications. (Dual Credit, local into applicable curricular areas K-12	December Evaluation:
	Resources: local funds, Perkins Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Administrators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: TAPR	May Evaluation:
23	Continue to provide accellerated education options* to	o high school students who wish to graduate ea	arly.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: PLATO Lab	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Counselors and Administators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: student surveys, increased early graduation rate	May Evaluation:
24	Leverage Early Ed. Allotment to fund 3-4 teacher salar	ries in grades k-3 in order to reduce class sizes	š.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Early Ed. Allotment	Person(s) Responsible: CFO, Campus Administators	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Class sizes, student achievement on benchmarks	May Evaluation:
25	Maintain supplemental personnel* and sections in order and/or upgrade the educational program at Title I, Part support, and acceleration.			December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local, State, and Federal Funds (SCE, Title I, Part A)	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration with support from District Administration	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Supplemental personnel schedules and payroll; impact on student achievement	May Evaluation:
26	Continue to strengthen English Learner programs.			December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local, State, and Federal Funds (SCE, Title I, Part A)	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration with support from District Administration	Timeline:August - June; Evaluation: Supplemental personnel schedules and payroll; impact on student achievement	May Evaluation:
27	Continue to provide supplemental professional develop students access to a robust, well-rounded education.	pment, programming, supplies, and materials t	to support CTE courses and provide	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Federal Programs Director, Campus Administration, counselors, teachers	Timeline:August - June; Evaluation: products, sign-in sheets, feedback from staff and the community, student achievement	May Evaluation:

#### **DISTRICT GOAL 3: CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION**

#### A well-balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided to all students.

**District Objective A:** The district will provide a well-balanced curriculum as defined in TEC. (The foundation curriculum includes English Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies; and the enrichment curriculum includes, to the extent possible: Languages Other Than English, Health, Physical Education, Fine Arts, Economics, Career and Technology Education, and Technology Applications.) **District Objective B:** Technology will be implemented and leveraged to increase the effectiveness of student learning, instructional management, staff development, and administration.

**Data Sources Reviewed:** 2019-2020 Curriculum Audit; Current practices in Curriculum and Instruction; Most recent STAAR test administration data; txschools.gov accountability website, Most recent TAPR Demographics/ Data, Campus assessment data, Administrative Cabinet Meeting Minutes, COVID-19 Needs Assessment

Identified Strengths:	<b>Identified Needs:</b>	<b>Identified Root Causes:</b>
Grade 3 reading above state	Grade 3 Math	High number of entry-level ELL students at secondary
Grade 4 math above state	Grade 4 Reading	Reading and writing should be taught together across curriculum
Grade 5 math above state	Grade 4 Writing	Writing should be emphasized in all courses, to allow students more practice
Grade 7 writing above state	Grade 5 Reading	Inconsistent verbiage alignment year-to-year
		Lack of accountability/expectation/follow-th
EOC English I even with state CTE- Welding certification, education certification programs; district reimburses	Grade 5 Science	rough
certification test expense if student passes	Grade 6 Reading	Data is "after the fact"
Strong college recruitment program	Grade 6 Math	Lack of communication/alignment within departments

#### **Identified Strengths:**

Strong character education and specials programs at primary campuses

#### Identified Needs:

Grade 7 Reading Grade 7 Math

Grade 8 Reading Grade 8 Science Grade 8 Social Studies EOC English II EOC Alg. I EOC Bio EOC US History

#### **Identified Root Causes:**

District goals may not be emphasized at the campus level A lack of instructional leadership Lack of empowerment for level leaders/ department coordinators to serve as instructional leaders.

#### **DISTRICT GOAL 3: CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION Summary of Needs**

In 2019 (last Pre-COVID year), STAAR scores were down in reading, math, science and history almost universally within the district. Of the 21 tested areas across 3 campuses, we had only met state expectations in 5 areas; Grade 3 Reading, Grade 4 & 5 Math, Grade 7 Writing, and English I EOC. Currently, we have in place remediation classes, pull-outs, or targeted reading and math instruction time for students who did not meet expectations during the previous year. The results of these steps were inconclusive in 2019-2020 due to the COVID-19 health issue and lack of testing, though prelimanary data suggests that DISD students did well compared to the state in 2020-2021, the comparison is difficult to evaluate because the vast majority of our students were back at school and the same cannot be said for all students in the state. There are differing levels of success reported, depending upon the structure and method of the instruction, and utilization of that time for remediation. As the goal is to ensure mastery of the content, these extra remediation opportunities are imperative for student success. The problem persists for several reasons, including not utilizing available data early in order to see what students are missing during instruction prior to testing and following up with that information to fill gaps students have within that instruction. Additionally, lack of teacher accountability, lack of expectations for teachers and students, and a lack of top-down follow-through are major contributing factors, as are a lack of instructional leadership, failure to empower and leverage department coordinators and grade-level leaders to their full potential to mentor, coach, and identify training for their department or grade-level team members, and vertical alignment and communication among subject areas. We hope to see higher levels of student success as a result of the district's response to HB 4545, adoption of a vertically aligned curriculum framework, and emphasis on providing increased instructional leadership districtwide.

#### **DISTRICT GOAL 3: CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION DIP Strategies**

1	Ensure that we are providing engaging instruction (via a variety of instructional tools and teaching methods) for our learners. Shift away from relying too heavily on technology; use technology when it is the "best tool for the job." Increase engagement of students with teacher.			December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District Administration, Campus Administration	Timeline: August - June 2; Evaluation: Shared Vision for Instruction, walkthroughs, TTESS Evaluations	May Evaluation:
2	Continue accellerated instruction classes (see requirements; seek ways to improve accellerated)		ng students; meet all HB 4545	December Evaluation:
	Resources: State and federal funding	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration, instructional staff	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Master schedule, SCE budget, hourly timesheets	May Evaluation:
3	Align K-12 core curriculum and instruction with framework: TEKS Resource System (TRS). P for teachers.			December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District Adminstration	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: increase in documented walkthroughs, documented conversations, and documented meetings and agenda items regarding instruction	May Evaluation:
4	Increase instructional leadership calibration, or recommended through 19-20 Curriculum Aud department heads to monitor, mentor, coach, success rates. Increase instances of reinforcin impact on student learning and provide curricu- to the COVID-19 crisis and will benefit DISD s	it) to assist staff and increase the capacity of t and support the members of their team for the ng and refining feedback regarding delivery of ulum support. This strategy will serve to assist	teachers, grade-level leaders, and e purpose of advancing student f instruction, lessons, and their	December Evaluation:
	Resources: time, staff, local and federal funds	Person(s) Responsible: Superintendent, Directors of Curriculum and Instruction, Campus Principals, Instructional Specialists, Grade Level and Department Lead Teachers, campus instructional staff	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: lesson plans, walkthroughs, T-TESS ratings, student achievement	May Evaluation:

5	Increase top-down buy in. There must be "buy-in" from the administration all the way down the line in order for campuses to make significant gains; increase follow-through by administration, particularly at the campus level, to ensure that strategies adopted for vertical alignment are being followed.			December Evaluation:
	Resources: time	District and campus administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: increase in documented walkthroughs, documented conversations, and documented meetings and agenda items regarding vertical alignment of instruction	May Evaluation:
6	Use DISD Shared Vision for Instruction as the the district. (T-TESS evaluations are more sur		observations/feedback throughout	December Evaluation:
	Resources: time, input, Local and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District Administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: sign in sheets, agendas, shared vision document, instructional/ coaching program implementation documentation	May Evaluation:
7	Campus administrators and site-based decision executing plans at the campus levels to meet		with district goals by writing and	December Evaluation:
	Resources:	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: CIP strategies and their evaluations	May Evaluation:
8	Include structured vertical alignment (vertical t collaborate/communitcate.	eaming) from the top down; include schedule	d time for teachers to	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Administration	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: Resources, meeting documentation/ sign in sheets/ agendas	May Evaluation:
9	Continue supplemental ancillary programs (Ro	osetta Stone, I-Ready, No Red Ink, Study Isla	nd, etc.)	December Evaluation:
	Resources: local, SCE, Federal funds	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Administration, instructional staff	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: usage reports, student achievement	May Evaluation:
10	Continue to enhance primary students' well-ro educational experience for all students at Title		ary programming that upgrades the	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local, state, and Title I, Part A Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Administration, instructional staff	Timeline: August - June; Evaluation: usage reports, student achievement	May Evaluation:

#### DISTRICT GOAL 4: QUALIFIED AND EFFECTIVE PERSONNEL

#### Highly qualified, effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.

**District Objective A:** The district will ensure the recruitment, development, retention, and support of a exceptional highly qualified staff to optimize student engagement and achievement.

**District Objective B:** Educators will keep abreast of the development of creative and innovative techniques in instruction and administration using those techniques as appropriate to improve student learning.

**District Objective C:** The district will aggressively improve opportunities for staff development within the district and will continue to utilize a trainer-of- trainers model in staff development.

District Objective D: The district will make continuous progress toward meeting the measurable achievement objectives for teacher quality under ESSA.

**District Objective E:** Provide staff development which meets state requirements for training in gifted and talented education, technology, ESL, conflict resolution, and discipline strategies.

Data Sources Reviewed: 2019-2020 Curriculum Audit; Current practices in Curriculum and Instruction; Most recent STAAR test administration data; txschools.gov accountability website, Most recent TAPR Demographics/ Data, Campus assessment data, Administrative Cabinet Meeting Minutes

<b>Identified Strengths:</b>	Identified Needs:	<b>Identified Root Causes:</b>
Certification reimbursement	Promote student teaching	
Stipends for areas of critical need	Technology Training	The move to distance learning highlighted our need for technology training, specifically over Google Classroom, Google Apps for Education, and Google Chrome Extensions.
		An obvious need has emerged particularly in lieu of COVID-19 in that technology trainers are all booked. However, there is a need in this district to provide teachers the opportunity to lead and support one another.
New teacher mentor program effectively leverages peer coaching model	Leverage highly-effective staff to conduct training internally	Our teachers are our teachers' best resource.

Identified Strengths:	Identified Needs:	Identified Root Causes:
Substitute Orientation is very detailed	Training for teachers of newcomer ELs	The majority of teachers who are not required to be ESL certified have not had any training, and many are now working with newcomer ELs who never had a similar student in the past.
New Employee Orientation is very thourough	Incentives for furthering education	Funding has been an issue in the past, but we must find the best way to leverage HB3's new Teacher Incentive Alotment to accomplish this goal among others.
Maintains supplemental personnel in order to reduce the achievement gap between low performing students and all students by providing RTI, instruction, and acceleration in small group settings.	Instructional Coaching	As instructional coaching has emerged as a data-proven best practice, DISD must respond by making time for training, oberservation time, and debriefing time. This need has been intensified as the COVID 19 Pandemic has resulted in greater student needs than ever before which, in turn, causes a need to mitigate that learning loss by providing support and training for teachers as they learn to address mass learning gaps.
	T-TESS implementation/ Instructional Leadership	All campus administrators need to learn to effectively implement and leverage T-TESS, walkthroughs, and collaboration with department heads to idenfiy the needs of staff and support and assist in coaching teachers up.
	Staff development over virtual security	Our teachers are more mobile with district-owned technology now that they have laptops.
	Training of paraprofessionals	We often focus on teachers and don't think of ensuring that paraprofessionals' training needs are met; however, there is significant potential for paraprofessionals to have a drastic impact on student success.
	Lack of CTE/ IBC class selection	Teachers lack certifications necessary to provide more CTE/ IBC classes; master schedule conflicts

#### **DISTRICT GOAL 4: QUALIFIED AND EFFECTIVE PERSONNEL Summary of Needs**

Although DISD has many strengths related to teacher recruitment, development, and retention- such as a strong new-teacher mentoring program, effective new-employee and sub orientation, and stipends for teachers employed in critical areas of need- there are steps we can take to improve. DISD staff needs, in particular, training geared toward success for special populations, classroom management, instructional technology, and content-specific training. In addition, there is a need for the district to take steps toward instructional coaching. This instructional coaching would not need to be directly affiliatated with evaluations, but it would help move our teachers toward higher levels on T-TESS and position them for success when the Teacher Incentive Allotment funds begin to flow. Through instructional coaching, strong teachers would be developed as leaders, struggling teachers would learn invaluable tools and strategies to enhance their skills, and, most importantly, students would benefit from best teaching practices being shared and developed among staff. Last but not least, DISD needs to invest in content-area and special education training for paraprofessionals so they will have the skills they need to be best leveraged for student success.

	DISTRICT GOAL 4: QUALIFIED AND EFFECTIVE PERSONNEL DIP Strategies	Source/ Progress: P=progress, NP= No Progress, C= Complete	
1	Ensure curriculum, instruction, assessment, teacher evaluation systems, etc. are calibrated to meet the rigorous expectations required of the district's Teacher Incentive Allotment plan; take steps toward active participation as scheduled in the TIA program.	December Evaluation:	
	Timeline: August- June; Curriculum and instruction plans and frameworks/ PLC artifacts, Person(s) Responsible: District Administration, Resources: Local and Federal Funds Campus Administration TRS, TTESS Evaluation Data	May Evaluation:	
2	Provide whole group and individual training and materials specifically over TEKS Resource System curriculum implementation, instructional leadership and coaching, teaching special populations, teaching reading, differentiating instruction, classroom		
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds Person(s) Responsible: Campus and District Administration Timeline: August August- June; Evaluation: POs, sign-in sheets, training certificates, documented growth on T-TESS evaluations	May Evaluation:	
3	Instructional leadership-Train administrators on instructional leadership and vision, T-TESS implementation, value of feedback to teaching staff. Analyze classroom instruction for areas of reinforcement and for areas in need of refinement; provide training aligned with goals; document progress or lack of progress toward goals. Implement T-TESS with fidelity.	December Evaluation:	
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds Person(s) Responsible: District Administration, Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: certificates, Campus Administration staff surveys	May Evaluation:	

4	ilize data from Curriculum Review to develop and continually improve a rigorous, transparent, and fair formal and informal valuation and support system for teachers and administrators; utilize data from T-TESS, walkthroughs, informal observations, valuation with department heads, etc. to evaluate needs for whole-group and individual staff support and professional evelopment in order to agressively improve staff development opportunities for staff and enhance student outcomes.			
	Resources: data	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: Campus CNA/CIP drives staff development for campus	May Evaluation:
5	Recruit, train, and retain teachers who ar opportunities for students to earn IBCs.	e certified in particular areas that can help to	expand CTE options and increase	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District Administration, Campus Administration	Timeline: August- June; certificates, increase in CCMR/ IBC data	May Evaluation:
6	Promote student teaching participation in	the schools.		December Evaluation:
	Resources:	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: documentation of increased number of student teachers	May Evaluation:
7	Provide incentives and/or reimbursement further their education.	t (whenever possible) for staff who demonstra	te excellence in delivering instruction and/or	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Teacher Incentive Allotment	Person(s) Responsible: District Administration	Timeline: August August- June; Evaluation: reimbursement POs	May Evaluation:
8	Provide targetted training for paraprofess maintain highly qualified status.	sionals that will increase their capacity to assis	st all students in core subject areas and	December Evaluation:
	Resources: local and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration with support from District Administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: 2021-2022 CIP strategies, sign in sheets, agendas, increased student success	May Evaluation:
9	Provide TOT-modeled staff development and existing staff as possible.	that is targeted toward campus and departme	ent needs. Leverage supplemental funding	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Title II, Part A Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Technology Director, Federal Programs Director	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: Screenshots of Google Meets	May Evaluation:
10	Continue to provide reimbursement for th	ne ExCET/TExES test in high needs areas to a	assist teachers in becoming highly effective.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Title II, Part A Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration, Director of Federal and Special Programs	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: Reimbursement POs	May Evaluation:
11	Continue to provide new teacher mentor	program and stipends for mentors.		December Evaluation:

	Resources: Title II, Part A Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Director of Federal and Special Programs	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: Documentation of all mentor/ mentee activities, sign in sheets, schedules	May Evaluation:
12	Continue to provide stipends in areas of o	critical need such as ESL, math, and science.		December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and Title II, Part A Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District Administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: Stipend List	May Evaluation:
13	Continue to provide detailed new employ	ee and sub orientations.		December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local	Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: Sign in sheets, handouts, agendas	May Evaluation:
14	Continue to provide staff development ov	er virtual security.*		December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local, Safe Schools Online Training	Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: Safe Schools Report	May Evaluation:
15	Continue to provide programs, training, a effectiveness in administration, instruction	nd consultants for administrators and teacher n, technology, classroom management, etc	s that increase their efficiency and	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: POs, program usage reports	May Evaluation:
16	Continue to make supplemental ESL train	ning available to all teachers of English Learn	ers.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and federal funds, Title III SSA with ESC 20	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Adminstration with support from District Administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: Emails, staff surveys, sign in sheets, agendas, certificates	May Evaluation:

#### DISTRICT GOAL 5: SCHOOL CLIMATE AND DISCIPLINED ENVIRONMENT

The district's campuses will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning and to positive perception in the community.

District Objective A: Establish positive rapport among staff, parents, and community.

**District Objective B:** Involve all stakeholders including staff, students, parents, and community members in improving district achievement, safety, and facilities.

District Objective C: School campuses will maintain a safe, healthy, and disciplined environment conducive to student learning.

Data Sources Reviewed: Most recent STAAR test administration data; txschools.gov accountability website, staff, student, and parent climate surveys, Most recent TAPR Demographics/ Data, Campus assessment data, Administrative Cabinet Meeting Minutes; PEIMS discipline reports

<b>Identified Strengths:</b>	Identified Needs:	Identified Root Causes:
Wide use of Remind and Facebook utilized by by parents for communication	As grade level increases, positive rapport seems to go down.	Lack of teacher/parent communication and decreased parent involvement as students get older.
Most parents feel welcome at campuses and feel they can freely contact teachers and principals.	a streamlined method(vertically aligned) of communication is needed district-wide.	a lack of stream-lined method of communication causes confusion among parents and faculty.
Most parents feel they communicate easily with teachers.	secondary parents feel educational programs are not adequate.	leadership directly affects classroom learning environment which affects students' learning
Most campuses' parents feel their students' educational programs are appropriate.	Increase community involvement with stakeholders to increase rapport with students and teachers.	district has minimal programs to involve all stakeholders regarding positive rapport with community
Most parents feel policies and procedures are clear.	Involve stakeholders in improving district achievement	
Most parents feel all campuses provide a safe, secure school environment.	Increase stakeholder input into improving district safety.	
		Our school counselors do a great job, but they are not trained to meet some of the mental health needs of students, and they have many
SBDM involve stakeholders to edit CIP	Liscensed Professional Counselor	responsibilities.

Identified Strengths:	Identified Needs:	Identified Root Causes:
Mental health speakers at secondary campuses, character ed speakers at primary campuses. Bullying prevention, etc. We educate all students in character	Alternative education program	Some students at the secondary level struggle in the regular school setting and are at high risk for dropping out
building/ mental health and drug and alcohol prevention and awareness and utilize community resources for	We need to improve conditions for learning by increasing safety and health measures across the district.	More busses are needed to increase social distancing to mitigate the spread of disease and increase student safety.
Robust Safety and Security Committee membership and community participation		Air quality needs to be improved to ensure student safety and health.
SRO program/ DAEP/ JJAEP		
School assemblies, staff development, and on-campus programs leveraged to address bullying, harrassment, and violence issues*		More playground equipment is needed to spread students out at primary campuses.
Strong District Safety and Security Committee		
DEIC Reports that DLC seems to be helping a great deal to mitigate attendance issues and		

#### DISTRICT GOAL 5: SCHOOL CLIMATE AND DISCIPLINED ENVIRONMENT Summary of Needs

dropouts

Participation from parents of secondary students was low on parent surveys. Remind and Facebook seemed to be the most widely used methods by parents of primary students, but parents of secondary students seemed to utilize Remind and School Messenger more. Root Causes: There still seems to be a lack of teacher/parent communication as students rise in grade level, as well as a decrease in parent involvement, as students get older, parents start letting students be more responsible in communicating school news to their parents. A lack of a streamlined method of communication causes confusion among parents and faculty. Some parents feel lack of effective leadership skills affects staff, which in turn affects students' behavioral and academic performances in the classroom. The district lacks programs on positive community rapport and a secure feeling among stakeholders. Families feel that safety is a strength of the district, and the district is very strong in the areas of safety and health, including robust District Safety and Security Committee involvement and follow through. In lieu of the COVID 19 Pandemic, we need to increase air quality to prevent the spread of disease, more playground equipment is needed at primary campuses to spread students out, and more busses are needed to increase social distancing to mitigate the spread of disease and increase student safety.

#### DISTRICT GOAL 5: SCHOOL CLIMATE AND DISCIPLINED ENVIRONMENT DIP Strategies

Source/ Progress: P=progress, NP= No Progress, C= Complete

1	Leverage School Messenger as the prim	ary point from which a parent should be able	e to receive all important messages.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: School Messenger	Person(s) Responsible: Campus and District Administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: School Messenger Reports, parent surveys	May Evaluation:
2	Leverage teacher-to-parent communicat	ion systems to disseminate extremely impor	tant and/or time-sensitive information.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: teacher-to-parent communication systems (Remind, etc)	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration, teachers	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: system usage report, parent survey	May Evaluation:
3		form to communicate concerns with leaders mmunity member concerns when feasible.	hip; make every effort to find a solution to	December Evaluation:
	Resources: District website	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Adminstration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: Frequency of contacts via DISD website, parent surveys	May Evaluation:
4			more effectively for improvement in district I safety and/or a mentoring program for building	December Evaluation:
	Resources: staff to coordinate	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Adminstration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: evidence of program implementation	May Evaluation:
5	Act quickly on the initiatives of the Safety	and Security Committee.		December Evaluation:
	Resources: vary	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Adminstration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: documented actions based on recommendations, surveys	May Evaluation:
6	Contract an LPC to effectively serve our mental health needs and particularly in li		ultimately, in suicide prevention* among other	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District Administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: position filled, surveys	May Evaluation:
7	Initiate an alternative learning placement greatest risk of not graduating. (SCE)	t for secondary students in need of accellera	ted instruction*/ credit recovery* who are at the	December Evaluation:
	Resources: SCE funds, personnel, space	Person(s) Responsible: District Administration, DLC Principal	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: number referred/ number of participants/number of graduates releative to allotted space and recources	May Evaluation:

8	Improve air quality to prevent, prepare for	r, and respond to COVID-19		December Evaluation:
	Resources: ESSER II Federal Relief Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Superintendet, Transportation Director, CFO	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: PEIMS attendance reports, nurse's reports	May Evaluation:
9	Increase playground equiment at primary COVID-19 by increasing social distancing	campuses to mitigate the spread of disease J.	and prevent, prepare for, and respond to	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and ESSER II Federal	Person(s) Responsible: Superintendet, Trar	Timeline:August- June; Evaluation: PEIMS attendance reports, nurse's reports	May Evaluation:
10	Increase number of bus routes to mitigate social distancing.	e the spread of disease and prevent, prepare	for, and respond to COVID-19 by increasing	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and ESSER II Federal Relief Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Superintendet, Transportation Director, CFO	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: Bus rosters	May Evaluation:
11	Continue distict-wide and community well proper nutrition and physical activity. (SH	ness policy*, which incorporates an employe AC)	e and parent component to help emphasize	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District Administration, Director of Student Services, District Nurse	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: SHAC presentation to DEIC in the fall, sign-in sheets, agendas, events	May Evaluation:
12	Continue to provide updates to parents by	y communicating important information in mu	Itiple ways.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Social media platforms, Remind, email, School Messenger, Parent Portal	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Adminstration, teachers	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: parent survey	May Evaluation:
13		ification system* in accordance with suicide p to parents/guardians related to community re	prevention program* detailed in state health esources for substance abuse prevention and	December Evaluation:
	Resources: emial, phone	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration with support from District Administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: documentation of contact	May Evaluation:
14	prevention techniques and knowledge of		maltreatment of children*, including ay be a victim of sexual abuse, sex trafficking, I abuse, sex trafficking, or other maltreatment.	December Evaluation:
	Resources: Safe Schools Online Training- local	Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: program-issued reports/ certificates	May Evaluation:
15	Continue the SRO program for campus se instruction to students, parents, teachers,		with truancy, and provide violence prevention	December Evaluation:
	Resources: local	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Adminstration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: payroll, sign-in sheets, agendas, certificates	May Evaluation:

16	16 Continue to address <i>conflict resolution</i> needs such as bullying and harassment through school assemblies, staff development, and on-campus programs, and provide information on the district website.*									
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: District and Campus Adminstration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: vendor POs, sign-in sheets, agendas, certificates	May Evaluation:						
7		acter building/ mental health and drug and alc ance abuse prevention and mental health serv	ohol prevention and awareness, and continue vices.*	December Evaluation:						
	Resources: Local and Federal Funds	Person(s) Responsible: Campus Administration with support from District Administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: program materials, lesson plans, handouts	May Evaluation:						
18	Continue to maintain a district Discipline	Alternative Education Program as an alternat	tive to or prior to expulsion. (SCE)*	December Evaluation:						
	Resources: local and SCE funding	Person(s) Responsible: District Adminsitration, DAEP Principal	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: placement documentation	May Evaluation:						
19	Continue to participate in Atascosa JJA	EP as an alternative to expulsion. (SCE)*		December Evaluation:						
	Resources: local and SCE funding	Person(s) Responsible: District Adminsitration, DAEP Principal	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: placement documentation	May Evaluation:						
20	Continue to promote effective use of teo teacher's effectiveness in this arena.	hnology by purchasing supplies, programs, ar	nd trainings that increase student's and	December Evaluation:						
	Resources: local, SCE, and federal funding sources	Person(s) Responsible: District Adminsitration, Campus Administration	Timeline: August- June; Evaluation: student and staff surveys, contracts, certificates, purchase orders	May Evaluation:						
21	Continue to provide speakers and prese needs become apparent.	entations for students that meet safe/healthy/en	nvironment conducive to learning needs as	December Evaluation:						
	Resources: local and federal funding sources	Person(s) Responsible: District Adminsitration, Campus Administration	Timeline: August- June; Purchase orders, surveys, etc.	May Evaluation:						

# 2019-20 Texas Academic Performance Report

District Name: DEVINE ISD

District Number: 163901

2020 Accountability Rating: Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster

2020 Special Education Determination Status:

Meets Requirements

#### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District STAAR Performance

#### Please note that due to the cancellation of spring 2020 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Performance section of this year's report is not updated.

	Sta		gion 20 Distric	African t American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continu- ously Enrolled	Non- Continu- ously Enrolled	Econ Disadv	EL (Current & Monitored)
STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level																
Grade 3 Reading At Approaches Grade Level or Above 20	019 76	% 7	3% <b>78%</b>	-	77%	79%					64%		80%	69%	68%	50%
At Meets Grade Level or Above 20	018 77 019 45 018 43	% 43	4% 77% 2% 46% 0% 42%	-	74% 40% 32%	81% 60% 60%		-		-	43% 36% 14%	* * *	77% 46% 43%	75% 47% 38%	71% 35% 30%	83% 33% 67%
At Masters Grade Level 20	019 27 018 25	% 2	5% <b>28%</b> 2% <b>22%</b>	-	24% 16%	37% 33%	*	-	-	-	14% 0%	*	28% 23%	28% 17%	14% 15%	17% 33%
Grade 3 Mathematics At Approaches Grade Level or Above 20	019 79	% 7	5% <b>73%</b>		70%	79%					71%		76%	63%	65%	50%
At Meets Grade Level or Above 20	018 78 019 49	% 74 % 4	4% 72% 5% 46%	-	67% 40%	81% 56%	÷	-	1	1	43% 50%	*	71% 43%	75% 53%	64% 32%	83% 33%
At Masters Grade Level 20	018 47 019 25 018 23	% 2	2% <b>42%</b> 1% <b>19%</b> 0% <b>18%</b>	-	38% 14% 22%	50% 30% 13%	*	-		-	21% 14% 0%	* * *	43% 17% 19%	38% 28% 17%	39% 8% 22%	50% 0% 17%
Grade 4 Reading																
	019 75 018 73		1% 69% 0% 68%	-	66% 60%	75% 81%	:	:		:	31% 48%	:	69% 72%	69% 60%	64% 56%	83% 56%
At Meets Grade Level or Above 20	019 44 018 46	% 40 % 43	0% 36% 2% 36%	;	30% 28%	48% 52%	:	2	1	:	8% 26%	:	39% 39%	28% 29%	23% 25%	67% 33%
	019 22 018 24		9% <b>16%</b> 1% <b>18%</b>		11% 12%	25% 31%	1	2	1	:	0% 0%	:	16% 20%	14% 15%	10% 11%	33% 22%
At Approaches Grade Level or	019 75	% 7	1% <b>78%</b>		76%	83%					46%		78%	78%	74%	83%
At Meets Grade Level or Above 20	018 78 019 48 018 49	% 75 % 42	5% 82% 2% 48% 4% 44%	*	77% 39% 34%	89% 65% 62%	-	-		•	61% 23% 39%	*	87% 50% 49%	71% 42% 35%	73% 36% 33%	80% 67% 30%
At Masters Grade Level 20	019 28 018 27	% 24	4% <b>23%</b> 3% <b>16%</b>		19% 8%	29% 30%	-	-	-	*	8% 17%	*	24% 18%	19% 12%	19% 11%	33% 10%
Grade 4 Writing At Approaches Grade Level or Above 20	019 67	% 6	3% <b>56%</b>	-	53%	63%		-			8%		60%	44%	44%	67%
At Meets Grade Level or Above 20	018 63 019 35	% 59 % 31	9% 52% 1% 20%	*	38% 20%	77% 19%	1	2	1	:	39% 8%	*	56% 23%	43% 11%	33% 15%	40% 33%
At Masters Grade Level 20	018 39 019 11 018 11	% 8	6% <b>27%</b> 8% <b>1%</b> 9% <b>1%</b>	:	17% 2% 0%	47% 0% 2%	-	-	-	:	17% 0% 0%	•	28% 1% 1%	27% 3% 0%	16% 2% 0%	20% 0% 0%

District Name: DEVINE ISD County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

#### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District STAAR Performance

Please note that due to the cancellation of spring 2020 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Performance section of this year's report is not updated.

											Two or	Special	Special	Continu-	Non- Continu-		EL (Current
			Region		African			American		Pacific	More	Ed	Ed	ously	ously	Econ	&
		State	20	District	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	(Current)	(Former)	Enrolled	Enrolled	Disadv	Monitored)
Grade 5 Reading^																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	86%	85%	83%	*	79%	89%	-	-	-	*	64%	*	82%	85%	80%	80%
	2018	84%	83%	84%	-	77%	96%	-	-	-	*	47%	*	85%	84%	79%	67%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	54%	50%	45%	*	38%	57%	-	-	-	*	36%	*	46%	41%	34%	50%
At Masters Grade Level	2018 2019	54% 29%	52% 26%	51% 19%	*	43% 12%	65% 33%	-	-		*	33% 5%	:	53% 21%	42% 15%	41% 14%	0% 10%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	26%	20%	21%	-	13%	35%	-	-	-	*	0%	*	22%	16%	14%	0%
Grade 5 Mathematics^	2010	2070	2470	2170		1370	5576					070		22.70	1070	1470	070
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	90%	88%	94%	*	93%	94%	-	-	-	*	91%	*	95%	90%	92%	100%
	2018	91%	90%	97%	-	96%	98%	-	-	-	*	88%	*	97%	95%	96%	100%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	58% 58%	56% 58%	54% 63%	*	46% 54%	69% 76%		-	-	:	41% 31%	*	58% 64%	44% 60%	44% 54%	30% 33%
At Masters Grade Level	2018	36%	34%	33%	*	23%	48%	-	-	-	*	23%	*	34%	31%	27%	30%
At masters Grade Lever	2018	30%	30%	28%	-	19%	46%	-	-	-	*	19%	*	29%	25%	24%	0%
Grade 5 Science																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	75%	71%	58%	*	46%	78%	-	-	-	*	45%	*	60%	53%	42%	40%
	2018	76%	74%	79%	*	69%	93%	-	-	-	*	53%	*	81%	68%	71%	50%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	49% 41%	44% 38%	28% 45%		18% 32%	46% 67%	-	-	-	*	23% 33%	*	28% 45%	29% 47%	21% 35%	20% 0%
At Masters Grade Level	2018	24%	20%	45%	*	32% 8%	22%	-	-	-	*	33% 9%	*	45%	47%	35%	10%
At Masters Grade Lever	2018	17%	15%	20%	-	6%	43%	-	-	-	*	13%	*	19%	26%	12%	0%
Grade 6 Reading																	
At Approaches Grade Level or	2010	C04/	670/	CEN/		500/	728/					250/		C00/	5 494	E 40/	570/
Above	2019 2018	68% 69%	67% 68%	65% 63%	*	59% 56%	73% 80%		-	-	*	35% 33%		68% 68%	54% 49%	54% 53%	57% 44%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	37%	36%	33%	-	22%	53%	-	-	-	*	24%	*	34%	27%	22%	14%
	2018	39%	38%	32%	*	24%	49%	-	-	-	*	33%	*	31%	34%	24%	33%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	18%	16%	16%	-	12%	22%	-	-	-	*	6%	*	16%	15%	15%	14%
	2018	19%	18%	11%	*	7%	20%	-	-	-	*	13%	*	12%	9%	7%	0%
Grade 6 Mathematics																	
At Approaches Grade Level or	2010	81%	79%	750/		69%	84%					410/		76%	69%	67%	57%
Above	2019 2018	77%	79%	75% 63%	*	57%	76%	-		-	*	41% 33%	*	63%	64%	48%	44%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	47%	44%	36%	-	23%	60%	-	-	-	*	18%	*	35%	42%	32%	14%
	2018	44%	41%	25%	*	19%	36%	-	-	-	*	20%	*	28%	14%	15%	22%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	21%	19%	10%	-	3%	22%	-	-	-	*	18%	*	8%	19%	6%	14%
	2018	18%	16%	5%	*	5%	6%	-	-	-	*	7%	*	5%	6%	4%	0%
Grade 7 Reading																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	76%	74%	72%	*	67%	83%		-		*	35%	80%	76%	60%	63%	50%
	2018	74%	73%	72%	-	67%	83%	-	-	-	*	33%	*	71%	77%	63%	44%

### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District STAAR Performance

#### Please note that due to the cancellation of spring 2020 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Performance section of this year's report is not updated.

											_				Non-		EL
			Region		African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Special Ed	Special Ed	Continu- ously	Continu- ously	Econ	(Current &
		State	20	District	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	(Current)	(Former)	Enrolled	Enrolled	Disadv	Monitored)
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	49% 48%	47% 47%	43% 37%	*	35% 31%	60% 47%	2	2	-	*	29% 27%	60% *	45% 38%	36% 31%	35% 27%	33% 33%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	29% 29%	27% 27%	25% 24%		19% 20%	36% 32%	1	1	2	*	6% 7%	60% *	24% 27%	26% 12%	20% 15%	17% 22%
Grade 7 Mathematics																	
At Approaches Grade Level or			-				-										
Above	2019 2018	75% 72%	71% 69%	63% 74%	*	55% 71%	79% 79%		-	-	*	35% 67%	80%	63% 74%	62% 73%	54% 70%	67% 89%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2018	43%	39%	29%	*	22%	40%				*	18%	40%	29%	29%	22%	33%
At meets of de Level of Above	2018	40%	37%	31%	-	27%	42%		-	-	*	27%	*	31%	35%	23%	33%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	17%	14%	5%	*	3%	11%	-	-	-	*	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	0%
	2018	18%	16%	13%	-	8%	23%	-	-	-	*	7%	*	15%	4%	3%	0%
Grade 7 Writing At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	70%	67%	72%	*	67%	83%	-	-	-	*	50%	80%	76%	59%	63%	50%
At Manta Crada Laval as Abava	2018	69%	68%	70%		65% 33%	81% 60%	-	-	-		25%	* 40%	70%	69%	58%	50% 33%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	42% 43%	38% 42%	41% 40%		33%	50%		- 2	-	*	19% 25%	40%	45% 39%	29% 42%	30% 31%	30%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	18%	15%	8%	*	7%	9%	-	-	-	*	6%	0%	8%	5%	6%	17%
	2018	15%	13%	9%	-	5%	17%	-	-	-	*	0%	*	10%	0%	6%	10%
Grade 8 Reading^																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019 2018	86% 86%	85% 86%	85% 92%		81% 92%	93% 92%	1	÷	;	*	46% 75%	*	86% 92%	78% 93%	78% 92%	83% 100%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	55% 49%	54% 48%	43% 60%		39% 55%	52% 65%	1	:		*	23% 42%	*	46% 58%	26% 66%	35% 49%	33% 60%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	28% 27%	27% 26%	24% 27%		17% 22%	41% 37%	1	:	*	*	15% 17%	*	27% 28%	9% 24%	12% 20%	0% 0%
Grade 8 Mathematics <sup>^</sup>																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	88%	88%	90%	*	87%	98%	-	-		*	69%	*	93%	76%	88%	100%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2018 2019	86% 57%	84% 54%	95% 56%	-	96% 53%	92% 64%	-		•	:	71% 31%	*	96% 59%	90% 38%	92% 50%	100% 60%
At Meets Grade Level of Above	2019	51%	46%	51%	-	45%	61%		-	*	*	36%	*	49%	58%	42%	40%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	17%	14%	19%	*	14%	32%		-	-	*	23%	*	22%	5%	12%	0%
	2018	15%	12%	14%	-	13%	14%	-	-	*	*	21%	*	11%	23%	6%	0%
Grade 8 Science																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	81%	79%	78%	*	71%	93%	-		-	*	54%	*	80%	64%	71%	67%
At Monte Crede Level or Above	2018	76%	74%	85% 39%		87%	82%	-	*	*	*	47%	*	85%	84%	82%	80% 0%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	51% 52%	50% 50%	39% 57%		27% 55%	66% 61%		*	*	*	38% 40%	*	42% 60%	18% 48%	25% 48%	60%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	25%	24%	18%	*	10%	34%		-	-	*	15%	*	20%	5%	8%	0%
	2018	28%	27%	27%	-	21%	35%	-	*	*	*	27%	*	26%	29%	23%	20%

...

\_\_\_\_

#### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District STAAR Performance

											Two or	Special	Special	Continu-	Non- Continu-		EL (Current
		State	Region 20		African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More	Ed (Current)	Ed (Former)	ously	ously	Econ	& Monitored)
Grade 8 Social Studies		State	20	District	American	mapanic	winte	Invian	Asidii	Isidifuei	Races	(current/	(Former)	Entoned	Entoned	DISduv	morntoreu/
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	69%	66%	62%	*	52%	82%	-	-		*	46%	*	64%	50%	53%	50%
	2018	65%	63%	74%	-	70%	78%	-	*	*	*	47%	*	73%	77%	69%	60%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	37%	35%	28%	*	19%	48%	-	-	-	*	23%	*	31%	9%	16%	0%
	2018	36%	33%	45%	-	39%	52%	-	*	*	*	33%	*	43%	50%	37%	60%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	21%	20%	14%	*	7%	30%	-	-	-	*	8%	*	17%	0%	8%	0%
	2018	21%	19%	22%	-	16%	30%	-	•	•	*	27%	*	20%	30%	18%	20%
End of Course English I																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	68%	67%	68%	*	65%	73%	-	-	*	*	31%	*	72%	55%	68%	70%
	2018	65%	64%	63%	*	56%	75%	-	-	-	*	24%	*	68%	47%	56%	50%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	50%	49%	48%	*	43%	58%	-	-	*	*	20%	*	50%	40%	44%	30%
	2018	44%	44%	40%	*	30%	56%	-	-	-	*	12%	*	44%	29%	28%	20%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	11% 7%	11% 7%	7% 5%	*	7% 4%	8% 7%	-	-	*	*	6% 5%	*	7% 6%	6% 2%	7% 3%	0% 0%
End of Course English II	2010	/ 70	/ 70	370		-470	/ 70	-	-	-		370		070	2.70	370	076
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	68%	68%	61%		54%	73%		-		*	26%	*	69%	37%	51%	56%
Above	2018	67%	67%	61%	*	59%	60%	*	-		*	12%		65%	45%	51%	40%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	49%	48%	44%	*	36%	56%	-			*	10%	*	50%	24%	31%	22%
	2018	48%	48%	42%	*	37%	49%	*	-	-	*	3%	-	47%	27%	31%	0%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	8%	7%	6%	*	3%	11%	-	-	-	*	6%	*	8%	0%	3%	0%
	2018	8%	8%	6%	*	5%	6%	*	-	-	*	0%	-	6%	5%	2%	0%
End of Course Algebra I																	
At Approaches Grade Level or	2010	0504	000				0004						*		6794	700/	7544
Above	2019	85% 83%	82%	84% 79%		84%	82% 83%	-	-	•	*	48%	*	89%	67% 72%	79% 71%	75%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2018 2019	83% 61%	81% 56%	79% 59%	•	76% 54%	83% 67%	-	•		*	33% 24%	*	81% 65%	39%	52%	71% 63%
At meets Grade Level of Above	2019	55%	51%	47%	*	41%	53%	-	*	-	*	9%	*	51%	34%	37%	29%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	37%	34%	41%	-	34%	54%	-		*	*	18%	*	45%	28%	28%	25%
	2018	32%	29%	20%	*	12%	31%	-	*		*	3%	*	20%	19%	13%	14%
End of Course Biology																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	88%	88%	87%	*	87%	86%	-	-	*	*	61%	*	87%	87%	85%	89%
	2018	87%	87%	85%	-	81%	92%	-	-	-	*	52%	*	90%	72%	86%	80%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	62%	62%	55%	*	50%	64%	-	-	*	*	31%	*	56%	51%	50%	33%
	2018	59%	59%	48%		34%	66%	-	-	-	*	10%	*	53%	35%	36%	20%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	25%	26%	18%		13%	28%	-	-	*	*	17%	*	18%	15%	15%	0%
End of Course U.S. History	2018	24%	25%	9%	-	5%	15%	-	-	-	•	0%	•	8%	13%	4%	0%
At Approaches Grade Level or	2019	028/	93%	87%		84%	91%			*	*	41%		90%	77%	85%	67%
Above	2019	93% 92%	93% 92%	87%		86%	91% 88%	-	-		*	41% 54%	*	90%	70%	85% 79%	60%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2010	73%	75%	63%	-	54%	75%	-	-	*	*	18%	-	67%	51%	49%	17%
At meets Grade Level of Above	2019	70%	71%	63%	-	60%	67%	-	-		*	27%	*	65%	57%	50%	20%

### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District STAAR Performance

#### Please note that due to the cancellation of spring 2020 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Performance section of this year's report is not updated.

															Non-		EL
											Two or		Special	Continu-	Continu-	_	(Current
		Challe	Region		African	Ulanada	-	American		Pacific	More	Ed	Ed	ously	ously	Econ	&
At Masters Grade Level	2019	State 45%	20 48%	32%	American	Hispanic 20%	51%	Indian	Asian	Islander *	Races	(Current) 12%	(Former)	Enrolled 38%	Enrolled 18%	Disadv 21%	Monitored) 0%
At Masters Grade Lever	2018	40%	42%	28%	-	24%	34%		-	-	*	0%	*	29%	23%	18%	20%
All Grades All Subjects																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019 2018	78% 77%	76% 75%	74% 75%	38% 64%	70% 70%	82% 83%	*		*	100% 94%	47% 44%	78% 82%	77% 77%	65% 68%	67% 68%	67% 64%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	50% 48%	47% 46%	43% 44%	0% 0%	36% 36%	57% 56%	*	:	*	72% 67%	25% 23%	37% 42%	45% 45%	35% 38%	33% 33%	33% 31%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	24% 22%	22% 20%	18% 16%	0%	13% 11%	28% 24%	*		*	31% 18%	11% 7%	10% 18%	19% 17%	14% 13%	12% 11%	10% 8%
All Grades ELA/Reading	2010	22 /0	2070	1070	0,0		2470				1070	110	1070	17 70	1370	1170	070
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019 2018	75% 74%	73% 73%	72% 71%	40% 60%	68% 66%	79% 79%	*		*	100% 100%	40% 34%	84% 76%	75% 74%	62% 62%	65% 63%	65% 58%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	48% 46%	46% 45%	43% 42%	0% 0%	36% 34%	56% 55%	*	:	*	71% 67%	22% 20%	37% 41%	45% 44%	34% 35%	33% 31%	35% 31%
At Masters Grade Level	2010	21%	19%	42%	0%	13%	25%	*	-	*	29%	20%	16%	18%	14%	11%	11%
	2018	19%	18%	15%	0%	11%	23%	*	*	*	17%	4%	18%	17%	11%	10%	10%
All Grades Mathematics																	
At Approaches Grade Level or	2010	0004	700/			700/	0.004	*			1000/	E 70/	0.004	000/	704	7.00	7004
Above	2019 2018	82% 81%	79% 78%	80% 80%	:	76% 76%	86% 85%	:	*	:	100% 100%	57% 54%	82% 94%	82% 81%	72% 76%	74% 72%	76% 79%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2018	52%	48%	47%	*	40%	60%	*	-	*	77%	29%	94% 41%	49%	41%	38%	41%
	2018	50%	46%	43%	*	36%	54%	-	*	*	80%	25%	47%	44%	37%	34%	33%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	26% 24%	24% 21%	22% 16%	:	16% 12%	33% 23%	*	:	*	31% 30%	16% 10%	6% 18%	22% 17%	19% 15%	15% 12%	15% 6%
All Grades Writing																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	68%	65%	64%	*	60%	73%	-	-	-	*	31%	63%	69%	52%	53%	56%
	2018	66%	63%	61%	*	52%	79%	-	-	-	*	33%	40%	64%	52%	46%	45%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	38% 41%	35% 39%	31% 34%	:	27% 26%	39% 49%	-	-	-	:	14% 21%	25% 40%	34% 34%	21% 32%	22% 23%	33% 25%
At Masters Grade Level	2018	14%	12%	5%	*	20%	49%		-		*	3%	40%	5%	4%	4%	25%
A masters crude cerer	2018	13%	11%	5%	*	3%	9%		-	-	*	0%	0%	6%	0%	3%	5%
All Grades Science																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	81%	80%	75%	*	70%	85%	-	-	*	100%	55%	67%	77%	69%	68%	64%
	2018	80%	79%	83%	-	79%	89%	-	*	*	83%	51%	83%	86%	75%	80%	69%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	54% 51%	52% 49%	42% 50%	*	33% 40%	58% 65%	-	*	*	60% 50%	30% 24%	50% 50%	43% 52%	35% 42%	34% 39%	20% 25%
At Masters Grade Level	2018	25%	23%	16%		40%	28%			*	40%	24%	17%	52% 18%	42%	39% 11%	4%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	23%	22%	18%	-	10%	20%	-	*	*	17%	10%	33%	17%	21%	12%	6%
All Grades Social Studies																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	81%	80%	74%	*	67%	87%	-	-	*	*	43%	*	76%	67%	68%	58%
	2018	78%	78%	81%	-	79%	84%	-	*	*	*	51%	100%	83%	73%	74%	60%

...

Devine ISD District Improvement Plan 40

### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District STAAR Performance

			Regior	1	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Special Ed	Special Ed	Continu- ously	Non- Continu- ously	Econ	EL (Current &
		State	20	District	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	(Current)	(Former)	Enrolled	Enrolled	Disadv	Monitored)
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	55%	55%	45%	*	35%	63%	-	-	*	*	20%	*	48%	36%	32%	8%
	2018	53%	52%	55%	-	51%	61%	-	*	*	*	29%	20%	56%	53%	44%	40%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	33%	34%	23%	*	13%	41%	-	-	*	*	10%	*	26%	11%	14%	0%
	2018	31%	31%	26%	-	21%	32%	-	*	*	*	10%	20%	25%	27%	18%	20%

#### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Progress

		State	Region 20	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continu- ously Enrolled	Non- Continu- ously Enrolled	Econ Disadv	EL (Current & Monitored)
School Progress Domain -	Academic	c Growth	Score by G	rade and	Subject												
Grade 4 ELA/Reading	2019 2018	61 63	59 62	55 58		56 53	53 67	:	2	-	*	42 50	*	53 57	63 59	56 58	92 72
Grade 4 Mathematics	2019 2018	65 65	62 63	73 75	;	71 74	77 78	:	2	:	*	69 61	*	74 75	72 74	73 79	75 100
Grade 5 ELA/Reading	2019 2018	81 80	81 80	78 77	•	80 81	75 74	:	2	:	:	83 75	*	76 78	86 74	78 76	90 83
Grade 5 Mathematics	2019 2018	83 81	84 83	88 89	*	87 90	88 86	-	-	-	*	86 94	*	85 88	97 92	85 88	85 100
Grade 6 ELA/Reading	2019 2018	42 47	41 47	37 32		32 30	42 37	-	-	:	:	32 30	*	38 33	32 31	36 29	75 39
Grade 6 Mathematics	2019 2018	54 56	49 52	27 21	*	20 23	38 19	-	-	-	:	21 40	*	24 21	40 21	24 23	29 22
Grade 7 ELA/Reading	2019 2018	77 76	76 75	77 70		78 72	74 64	:	-	-	:	63 77	80	79 69	72 74	75 64	83 67
Grade 7 Mathematics	2019 2018	62 67	61 68	57 72	•	55 71	61 76	-	-	-	*	50 69	60 *	61 72	46 74	55 72	67 89
Grade 8 ELA/Reading	2019 2018	77 79	77	79	*	80	77 85	-	-	-	:	85 55	*	80	72 80	75 84	75 60
Grade 8 Mathematics	2018 2019 2018	82 81	79 82 80	81 87 86	•	81 87 90	85 80	-	-	-	*	55 73 86	*	81 87 86	83 83	86 88	100 100
End of Course English II	2019 2018	69 67	68 66	63 71	*	59 72	68 69	:	2	2	:	63 55	*	65 75	47 56	61 72	70 *
End of Course Algebra I	2019 2018	75 72	73 71	84 63	:	83 60	86 65	:	;	*	*	56 29	*	88 64	68 59	79 55	92 58
All Grades Both Subjects	2019 2018	69 69	68 69	67 65	57 43	66 64	69 67			*	84 60	61 59	59 60	68 66	66 63	65 64	77 72
All Grades ELA/Reading	2018 2019 2018	68 69	67 68	66 64	*	65 63	65 66	-	-	-	88 50	62 56	59 57	66 64	65 60	63 62	82 66
All Grades Mathematics	2018 2019 2018	70 70	68 69	69 66	*	67 66	73 67	-	-	*	81 70	59 62	59 63	70 67	67 65	66 65	73 77

#### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Prior Year and Student Success Initiative

#### Please note that due to the cancellation of spring 2020 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Performance section of this year's report is not updated.

		State	Region 20	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disadv	EL (Current)
Progress of Prior-Year Non-Proficient Studen	ts													
Sum of Grades 4-8														
Reading	2019	41%	40%	40%	*	40%	40%	-	-	-	*	16%	37%	35%
Mathematics	2018 2019 2018	38% 45% 47%	37% 44% 45%	31% 50% 62%	-	26% 48% 66%	49% 60% 44%	-	-	-	-	14% 36% 48%	29% 47% 60%	26% 58% 71%
Student Success Initiative														
Grade 5 Reading	_													
Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on	2019	R Admini 78%	stration 76%	72%	*	65%	85%	-	-	-	*	50%	65%	50%
Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction	2019	22%	24%	28%	*	35%	15%	-	-	-		50%	35%	50%
STAAR CumulativeMet Standard	2019	86%	85%	82%	*	79%	89%	-	-	-		56%	79%	75%
STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by	Grade Plac 2018	ement Co 97%	ommittee 97%	100%		100%			-	-	-	100%	100%	
STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previ Promoted to Grade 6	ous Year) 2019	9%	8%	7%		7%			-	-	-	0%	8%	
Grade 5 Mathematics														
Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on	2019	83%	stration 82%	85%	*	81%	91%	-	-	-	*	72%	79%	88%
Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction	2019	17%	18%	15%	*	19%	9%	-	-	-		28%	21%	13%
STAAR CumulativeMet Standard	2019	90%	88%	94%	*	94%	94%	-	-	-	*	94%	93%	100%
STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by	Grade Plac 2018	ement Co 97%	ommittee 96%	100%		100%				-		*		
STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previ Promoted to Grade 6	ous Year) 2019	24%	23%	*			-		-	-		*		-
Grade 8 Reading														
Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on	First STAA 2019	R Admini 78%	stration 77%	79%	*	76%	84%	-	-	-		33%	70%	*
Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction	2019	22%	23%	21%	*	24%	16%	-	-	-		67%	30%	*
STAAR Cumulative Met Standard	2019	85%	85%	85%		81%	93%		-	-		42%	78%	
STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by				100%	-	100%	100%	-	-	_		100%	*	
STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previ	ous Year)	99% 13%	14%	13%	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	*	20%	-
Promoted to Grade 9	2019	1.5%	14%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20%	-

#### Grade 8 Mathematics

\_

### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Prior Year and Student Success Initiative

					African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Special	Econ	EL
		State	Region 20	District		Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Ed	Disadv	(Current)
Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on	First STA/	AR Adminis	stration											
• • • •	2019	82%	80%	87%	*	83%	95%	-	-	-	*	58%	86%	*
Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction														
	2019	18%	20%	13%	*	17%	5%	-	-	-	*	42%	14%	*
STAAR Cumulative Met Standard														
	2019	88%	87%	90%	*	87%	98%	-	-	-	*	67%	88%	*
STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by 0	Grade Plac	cement Co	mmittee											
	2018	98%	99%	100%	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	-
STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previo	us Year)													
Promoted to Grade 9	2019	50%	50%	38%	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	17%	17%	

### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District STAAR Performance Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language

(Current EL Students)

						BE-Trans					ESL	ESL		LEP with	Total
CTUDD ( DIA bucklassia		State	Region 20	District	Education	Early Exit	Late Exit	Two-Way	One-Way	ESL	Content	Pull-Out	Services	Services	EL
STAAR Performance Rate by Subject and Pe	erformance L	Level													
All Grades All Subjects	2010	700/	700/	7.40/						E 00/	500/	500/	6704	500/	500/
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	78%	76%	74%	-	-	-	-	-	58%	52%	59%	67%	58%	58%
	2018	77%	75%	75%	-	-	-	-	-	55%	83%	52%	55%	55%	55%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	50%	47%	43% 44%	-	-	-	-	-	18%	29%	16%	11%	18%	18%
At Mantana Canada Lavad	2018	48%	46%		-	-	-	-	-	25%	58%	22%	0%	25%	23%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	24%	22%	18% 16%	-	-	-	-	-	4% 5%	10% 25%	3% 3%	0% 0%	4% 5%	4%
All Crades El A/Deading	2018	22%	20%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	25%	3%	0%	5%	5%
All Grades ELA/Reading	2010	75%	73%	72%						57%	56%	57%	*	57%	56%
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019				-	-	-	-	-						
At Mosts Crade Level or Above	2018	74% 48%	73% 46%	71%	-	-	-	-	-	51%	83% 33%	46% 19%	:	51%	48%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019			43%	-	-	-	-	-	22%	53% 67%			22% 24%	20%
At Masters Grade Level	2018 2019	46% 21%	45% 19%	42% 17%	-	-	-	-	-	24% 4%	11%	18% 3%		4%	23% 4%
At Masters Grade Level					-	-	-	-	-						
All Condex Mathematics	2018	19%	18%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	33%	3%	•	7%	6%
All Grades Mathematics	2010	0.00/	700/							0004	5.004	7244	*	6004	700/
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	82%	79%	80%	-	-	-	-	-	68%	56%	72%	:	68%	70%
	2018	81%	78%	80%	-	-	-	-	-	72%	83%	70%	*	72%	74%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	52%	48%	47%	-	-	-	-	-	24%	33%	21%	:	24%	25%
the second second	2018	50%	46%	43%	-	-	-	-	-	28%	50%	24%		28%	26%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	26%	24%	22%	-	-	-	-	-	8%	11%	7%	:	8%	8%
All Credes Million	2018	24%	21%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	17%	3%	•	5%	5%
All Grades Writing															
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	68%	65%	64%	-	-	-	-	-	36%	*	38%	-	36%	36%
	2018	66%	63%	61%	-	-	-	-	-	25%	*	25%	*	25%	21%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	38%	35%	31%	-	-	-	-	-	9%	*	13%	-	9%	9%
	2018	41%	39%	34%	-	-	-	-	-	17%	*	17%	*	17%	14%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	14%	12%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	0%		0%	-	0%	0%
All Candes Colores	2018	13%	11%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	0%	*	0%	0%
All Grades Science															
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	81%	80%	75%	-	-	-	-	-	50%	-	50%	*	50%	50%
	2018	80%	79%	83%	-	-	-	-	-	58%	-	58%	*	58%	62%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	54%	52%	42%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	6%	*	6%	6%
	2018	51%	49%	50%	-	-	-	-	-	25%	-	25%	*	25%	23%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	25%	23%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	0%	*	0%	0%
	2018	23%	22%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	8%	-	8%	*	8%	8%
All Grades Social Studies															
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	81%	80%	74%	-	-	-	-	-	56%	-	56%	*	56%	60%
	2018	78%	78%	81%	-	-	-	-	-	33%	-	33%	*	33%	50%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	55%	55%	45%	-	-	-	-	-	11%	-	11%	*	11%	10%
	2018	53%	52%	55%	-	-	-	-	-	33%	-	33%	*	33%	25%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	33%	34%	23%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	0%	*	0%	0%
	2018	31%	31%	26%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	0%	*	0%	0%
School Progress Domain - Academic Growth															
All Grades Both Subjects	2019	69%	68%	67%	-	-	-	-	-	75%	83%	74%	90%	75%	76%
-	2018	69%	69%	65%	-	-	-	-	-	71%	-	71%	50%	71%	69%
All Grades ELA/Reading	2019	68%	67%	66%	-	-	-	-	-	84%	*	84%	*	84%	85%
-	2018	69%	68%	64%	-	-	-	-	-	68%	-	68%	*	68%	64%
All Grades Mathematics	2019	70%	68%	69%	-		-	-	-	67%	*	65%	*	67%	67%

#### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District STAAR Performance Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language

(Current EL Students)

					Bilingual	BE-Trans	BE-Trans	<b>BE-Dual</b>	<b>BE-Dual</b>		ESL	ESL	LEP No	LEP with	Total
		State	Region 20	District	Education	Early Exit	Late Exit	Two-Way	One-Way	ESL	Content	Pull-Out	Services	Services	EL
	2018	70%	69%	66%	-	-		-	-	73%	-	73%	*	73%	74%
Progress of Prior Year STAAR Nor	n-Proficient Students (	Percent	of Non-Profic	cient Pass	ing STAA	र)									
Reading	2019	41%	40%	40%	-	-	-	-	-	33%	*	36%	*	33%	35%
•	2018	38%	37%	31%	-	-	-	-	-	29%	-	29%	*	29%	26%
Mathematics	2019	45%	44%	50%	-	-	-	-	-	58%	*	64%	-	58%	58%
	2018	47%	45%	62%						69%		69%		69%	71%

District Name: DEVINE ISD

County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

## Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District STAAR Participation

	State	Region 20	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disady	EL (Current)
2019 STAAR Participation (All Grades)													
All Tests													
Assessment Participant Included in Accountability Not Included in Accountability	99% 94%	99% 93%	100% 96%	100% 100%	100% 96%	99% 98%	*	1	*	100% 93%	99% 96%	100% 97%	100% 89%
Mobile Other Exclusions	4% 1%	5% 1%	3% 0%	0% 0%	4% 0%	1% 0%	*	1	*	7% 0%	2% 0%	2% 0%	9% 2%
Not Tested Absent Other	1% 1% 0%	1% 1% 0%	0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0%	1% 1% 0%	* *		* *	0% 0% 0%	1% 1% 0%	0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0%
2018 STAAR Participation (All Grades)													
All Tests Assessment Participant Included in Accountability Not Included in Accountability	99% 94%	99% 93%	100% 95%	100% 85%	100% 94%	100% 96%	*	100% 50%	*	100% 89%	99% 94%	99% 95%	100% 86%
Mobile Other Exclusions	4% 1%	5% 1%	4% 0%	15% 0%	5% 0%	4% 0%	*	50% 0%	*	11% 0%	6% 0%	4% 0%	8% 6%
Not Tested Absent Other	1% 1% 0%	1% 1% 0%	0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0%	*	0% 0% 0%	* *	0% 0% 0%	1% 1% 0%	1% 1% 0%	0% 0% 0%

## Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report

#### District Name: DEVINE ISD

#### 2019-20 District Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates

County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-a)         D.4%         D.5%         D.4%         D.5%         D.4%         D.0%         D.0% <thd.0%< th="">         D.0%         D.0%         <t< th=""><th></th><th>State</th><th>Region 20</th><th>District</th><th>African American</th><th>Hispanic</th><th>White</th><th>American Indian</th><th>Asian</th><th>Pacific Islander</th><th>Two or More Races</th><th>Special Ed</th><th>Econ Disady</th><th>EL (Current)</th></t<></thd.0%<>		State	Region 20	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disady	EL (Current)
2016-19         95.4%         94.5%         95.3%         95.1%         95.5%         -         95.1%         94.3%         94.7%         96.7%           2017-18         95.4%         94.6%         95.3%         95.1%         95.5%         -         95.1%         95.5%         0.0% <td< td=""><td>Attendance Rate</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Attendance Rate													
2017.16         95.4%         94.6%         95.3%         92.8%         95.1%         95.5%         •         •         95.6%         94.2%         94.5%         96.3%           Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8) 2017-18         0.4%         0.5%         0.3%         -         -         •         0.0%		95.4%	94 5%	95.3%	*	95.1%	95.7%		-	*	95.1%	94.3%	94 7%	96.7%
2019         0.4%         0.5%         0.3%         •         0.4%         0.0%         -         •         •         0.0%					92.8%			*	*	*				96.3%
2017-16         0.4%         0.6%         0.0%	Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8)													
ZD1716         OUN         OUN         OUN         OUN         OUN         OUN         OUN         OUN           Amal Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12)         19%         2.3%         2.0%         1.3%         -         -         0.0%         4.1%         3.5%         0.0%           2017-18         1.9%         2.6%         1.3%         -         -         0.0%         4.1%         2.8%         0.0%           2017-18         1.9%         2.6%         1.3%         -         -         0.0%         4.1%         2.8%         0.0%           Graduated         90.0%         88.2%         97.4%         -         97.7%         96.8%         -         -         100.0%         95.5%         -           Continued HS         3.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         -					*			-	-					
2018-19       1.9%       2.3%       2.0%       *       2.6%       1.3%       -       *       0.0%       4.1%       2.5%       0.0%         2017-18       1.9%       2.6%       1.3%       *       1.7%       0.8%       -       *       0.0%       1.4%       2.5%       0.0%         Class of 2019       Graduated       90.0%       88.2%       97.4%       -       97.7%       96.8%       -       -       *       100.0%       95.5%       -         Cordinued HS       3.7%       3.8%       0.0%       -       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       *       0.0%       0.5%       -       0.0%       0.5%       -       *       0.0%       0.5%       -       *       0.0%       0.5%       -       0.0%       0.	2017-18	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	*	*	•	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2017-18         1.9%         2.6%         1.3%         *         1.7%         0.8%         -         -         *         0.0%         1.4%         2.8%         0.0%           Class of 2019         Graduated         90.0%         88.2%         97.4%         -         97.7%         96.8%         -         -         *         100.0%         95.5%         -           Continued HS         3.7%         3.8%         0.0%         -         -         *         0.0%         0.0%         -         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         -         0.0%         4.5%         -         -         -         100.0%         95.5%         -         -         -         100.0%         95.5%         -         -         100.0%         95.5%         -         -         -         100.0%         95.5%         -         -         -         100.0%         96.8%         - <t< td=""><td>Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12)													
Characterization         Construction         Construct								-	-					
Class of 2019       90.0%       88.2%       97.4%       97.7%       96.8%       -       -       100.0%       95.5%         Received TxCHSE       0.5%       0.5%       0.0%       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       0.0%       0.0%         Dropped Out       5.9%       7.5%       2.6%       -       2.3%       3.2%       -       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       0.0%       9.5%       -       -       100.0%       95.5%       -       -       100.0%       95.5%       -       -       100.0%       95.5%       -       -       100.0%       95.5%       -       -       100.0%       95.5%       -       -       100.0%       95.5%       -       -       100.0%       95.5%       -       -       100.0%       95.5%       -       -       100.0%       95.5%       -       -       100.0%       96.4%       -       -       100.0%       10.5	2017-18	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%	*	1.7%	0.8%	-	-	*	0.0%	1.4%	2.8%	0.0%
Graduated       90.0%       88.2%       97.4%       -       97.7%       96.8%       -       -       -       100.0%       95.5%         Continued HS       3.7%       3.8%       0.0%       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       -       0.0%       0.0%         Continued HS       3.7%       3.8%       0.0%       -       0.0%       -       -       0.0%       0.0%         Graduates, TXCHSE       90.4%       88.7%       97.4%       -       97.7%       96.8%       -       -       -       0.0%       4.5%         Graduates, TXCHSE,       90.4%       88.7%       97.4%       -       97.7%       96.8%       -       -       -       100.0%       95.5%         and Continuers       94.1%       92.5%       97.4%       -       97.7%       96.8%       -       -       -       90.0%       85.6%       -       -       -       100.0%       95.5%       -       -       -       92.9%       86.4%       -       11%       0.0%       -       -       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       -       0.0%       0.0%       -       -       0.0%		)												
Received TxCHSE         0.5%         0.0%         -         0.0%         0.0%         -         -         -         -         0.0%         0.0%           Continued HS         3.7%         3.8%         0.0%         -         0.0% <th0.0%< th="">         0.</th0.0%<>		90.0%	88.2%	97.4%	-	97.7%	96.8%	-	-	-	*	100.0%	95.5%	-
Continued HS       3.7%       3.8%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       -         Dropped Out       5.9%       7.5%       2.6%       -       2.3%       3.2%       -       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       -         Graduates and TXCHSE       90.4%       88.7%       97.4%       -       97.7%       96.8%       -       -       *       100.0%       95.5%       -         Graduates and TXCHSE       94.1%       92.5%       97.4%       -       97.7%       96.8%       -       -       *       100.0%       95.5%       -         Graduates and TXCHSE       0.4%       0.5%       0.0%       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       *       0.0%       *       0.0%       *       0.0%       *       0.0%       0.0%       *       0.0%       *       0.0%       *       0.0%       *       0.0%       *       0.0%       *       0.0%       *       *       0.0%       *       *       0.0%       *       *       0.0%					-			-	-	-	*			-
Dropped Out         5.9%         7.5%         2.6%         -         2.3%         3.2%         -         -         +         0.0%         4.5%         -           Graduates and TXCHSE,         90.4%         88.7%         97.7%         96.8%         -         -         -         100.0%         95.5%         -           Caraduates and TXCHSE,         94.1%         92.5%         97.4%         -         97.7%         96.8%         -         -         -         100.0%         95.5%         -           Caras of 2018              92.9%         86.4%            Continued HS         3.8%         3.7%         0.0%          0.0%         -         -          7.1%         0.0%            Dropped Out         5.7%         88.%         6.4%         9.1%         3.1%         -         -          7.1%         0.0%            Graduates and TXCHSE         90.4%         87.5%         92.9%         88.8%         96.9%         -         -          100.0%         86.4%            Graduates, TXCHSE,         0.6%					-			-	-	-	*			-
Graduates and TXCHSE       90.4%       88.7%       97.4%       -       97.7%       96.8%       -       -       -       100.0%       95.5%         and Continuers       94.1%       92.5%       97.4%       -       97.7%       96.8%       -       -       -       100.0%       95.5%         Class of 2018       90.0%       87.1%       92.9%       89.8%       96.9%       -       -       -       92.9%       86.4%       *         Graduated       90.0%       87.1%       92.9%       89.8%       96.9%       -       -       -       0.0%       0.0%       *         Continued HS       3.8%       3.7%       0.6%       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       0.0%       0.0%       *         Graduates and TXCHSE       90.4%       87.5%       92.9%       89.8%       96.9%       -       -       -       92.9%       86.4%       *         Graduates       7XCHSE       91.2%       93.6%       90.9%       96.9%       -       -       -       100.0%       86.4%       *         Graduates       7XCHSE       94.3%       91.2%       93.6%       90.9%       -       -       -	Dropped Out	5.9%		2.6%	-	2.3%	3.2%	-	-	-	*	0.0%	4.5%	-
Class of 2018       S.Y.W       S.Y.W <ths.y.w< th="">       S.Y.W       <ths.y.w< th=""></ths.y.w<></ths.y.w<>	Graduates and TxCHSE	90.4%	88.7%	97.4%	-	97.7%	96.8%	-	-	-	•	100.0%	95.5%	-
Received TxCHSE       0.4%       0.5%       0.0%       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       *         Continued HS       3.8%       3.7%       0.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       *         Dropped Out       5.7%       8.8%       6.4%       *       9.1%       3.1%       -       -       -       0.0%       0.0%       *         Graduates and TxCHSE       90.4%       87.5%       92.9%       *       89.8%       96.9%       -       -       -       92.9%       86.4%         Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers       94.3%       91.2%       93.6%       90.9%       96.9%       -       -       -       100.0%       86.4%       *         Strear Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12)       Class of 2018       -       -       -       92.9%       86.4%       *         Graduated       92.2%       89.6%       0.6%       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       0.0%       1.7%       *         Continued HS       1.1%       0.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       - <td< td=""><td></td><td>94.1%</td><td>92.5%</td><td>97.4%</td><td>-</td><td>97.7%</td><td>96.8%</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>*</td><td>100.0%</td><td>95.5%</td><td>-</td></td<>		94.1%	92.5%	97.4%	-	97.7%	96.8%	-	-	-	*	100.0%	95.5%	-
Continued HS       3.8%       3.7%       0.6%       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       7.1%       0.0%         Dropped Out       5.7%       8.8%       6.4%       9.1%       3.1%       -       -       -       0.0%       13.6%         Graduates and TxCHSE       90.4%       87.5%       92.9%       89.8%       96.9%       -       -       -       92.9%       86.4%         Graduates, TxCHSE,       and Continuers       94.3%       91.2%       93.6%       90.9%       96.9%       -       -       -       100.0%       86.4%         Streat Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12)       Class of 2018       -       -       -       92.9%       86.4%       *         Continued HS       1.1%       0.6%       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       100.0%       86.4%       *         Class of 2018       Graduated       92.2%       89.6%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       7.1%       0.0%       *         Dropped Out       6.1%       8.7%       5.8%       8.0%       3.1%       -       -       -       *       90.9%       96.9%       -       -	Graduated	90.0%	87.1%	92.9%	*	89.8%	96.9%	-	-	-	*	92.9%	86.4%	*
Continued HS       3.0%       3.7%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       1.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%       0.0%       1.1%	Received TxCHSE	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	*	0.0%	0.0%	*
Graduates and TxCHSE       90.4%       87.5%       92.9%       *       89.8%       96.9%       -       -       *       92.9%       86.4%         Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers       94.3%       91.2%       93.6%       *       90.9%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       86.4%       *         S-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) Class of 2018       -       -       -       *       92.9%       86.4%       *         Graduated       92.2%       89.6%       92.9%       *       89.8%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       86.4%       *         Class of 2018       Graduated       92.2%       89.6%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       86.4%       *         Continuerd HS       0.1%       0.6%       0.6%       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       1.7%       *         Graduates and TxCHSE       0.6%       93.6%       *       90.9%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       88.1%         Graduates and TxCHSE       93.6%       90.7%       *       92.9% <td< td=""><td>Continued HS</td><td>3.8%</td><td>3.7%</td><td>0.6%</td><td>*</td><td>1.1%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>7.1%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>*</td></td<>	Continued HS	3.8%	3.7%	0.6%	*	1.1%	0.0%	-	-	-		7.1%	0.0%	*
Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers       94.3%       91.2%       93.6%       90.9%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       100.0%       86.4%         S-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12) Class of 2018       Second State       92.9%       89.6%       92.9%       *       89.8%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       100.0%       86.4%         Graduated       92.2%       89.6%       92.9%       *       89.8%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       86.4%       *         Class of 2018       0.6%       0.6%       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       86.4%       *         Continued HS       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       1.7%       *         Graduates and TxCHSE       92.8%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       1.9%       *         Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers       93.9%       91.3%       94.2%       92.0%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       100.0%       88.1%         Class of 2017       60%       0.7%       0.0%       0.0%       - <td>Dropped Out</td> <td>5.7%</td> <td></td> <td>6.4%</td> <td>-</td> <td>9.1%</td> <td>3.1%</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>*</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>13.6%</td> <td>*</td>	Dropped Out	5.7%		6.4%	-	9.1%	3.1%	-	-	-	*	0.0%	13.6%	*
5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12)         Class of 2018         Graduated       92.2%       89.6%       92.9%       *       89.8%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       86.4%         Received TxCHSE       0.6%       0.6%       0.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       1.7%       *         Continued HS       1.1%       1.1%       0.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       1.7%       *         Continued HS       1.1%       0.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       1.7%       *         Graduates and TxCHSE       92.8%       90.2%       93.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       11.9%       *         Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers       93.9%       91.3%       94.2%       *       92.0%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       100.0%       88.1%       *         Class of 2017       *       Graduated       92.0%       88.0%       90.7%       *		90.4%	87.5%	92.9%	•	89.8%	96.9%	-	-	-	•	92.9%	86.4%	*
Class of 2018       Graduated       92.2%       89.6%       92.9%       *       89.8%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       86.4%         Received TxCHSE       0.6%       0.6%       0.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       1.7%       #         Continued HS       1.1%       1.1%       0.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       7.1%       0.0%       #         Dropped Out       6.1%       8.7%       5.8%       *       8.0%       3.1%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       11.9%       #         Graduates and TxCHSE       92.8%       90.2%       93.6%       *       90.9%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       88.1%       #         Graduates, TxCHSE,       and Continuers       93.9%       91.3%       94.2%       *       92.0%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       100.0%       &       88.1%       #       Class of 2017       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       #       *       0.0%       0.0%       *	and Continuers	94.3%	91.2%	93.6%	*	90.9%	96.9%	-	-	-	•	100.0%	86.4%	•
Received TxCHSE       0.6%       0.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       1.7%       *         Continued HS       1.1%       1.1%       0.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       7.1%       0.0%       *         Dropped Out       6.1%       8.7%       5.8%       *       8.0%       3.1%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       11.9%       *         Graduates and TxCHSE       92.8%       90.2%       93.6%       *       90.9%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       11.9%       *         Graduates, TxCHSE,       3.3%       91.3%       94.2%       *       92.0%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       100.0%       88.1%       *         Graduates, TxCHSE,       3.9%       91.3%       94.2%       *       92.0%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       100.0%       88.1%       *         Class of 2017       -       -       -       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       *       0.0%       0.0%       *       0.0%       0.0%	5-Year Extended Longitudinal Rat Class of 2018	te (Gr 9-12	)											
Continued HS       1.1%       0.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       1.7%         Continued HS       1.1%       0.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       7.1%       0.0%       *         Dropped Out       6.1%       8.7%       5.8%       *       8.0%       3.1%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       11.9%       *         Graduates and TxCHSE       92.8%       90.2%       93.6%       *       90.9%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       88.1%       *         Graduates, TxCHSE,       0.0%       91.3%       94.2%       *       92.0%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       100.0%       88.1%       *         Class of 2017       -       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Graduated       92.0%       88.0%       90.7%       *       87.5%       95.7%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Continued HS       1.1%       0.0%       *       0.0%       0.0%	Graduated	92.2%	89.6%	92.9%	*	89.8%	96.9%	-	-	-	*	92.9%	86.4%	*
Continued HS       1.1%       1.1%       0.6%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       7.1%       0.0%       *         Dropped Out       6.1%       8.7%       5.8%       *       8.0%       3.1%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       *         Graduates and TxCHSE       92.8%       90.2%       93.6%       *       90.9%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       88.1%       *         Graduates, TxCHSE,       93.9%       91.3%       94.2%       *       92.0%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       100.0%       88.1%       *         Graduates, TxCHSE,       93.9%       91.3%       94.2%       *       92.0%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       100.0%       88.1%       *         Class of 2017       -       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Graduated       92.0%       88.0%       90.7%       *       87.5%       95.7%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Continued HS       1.1%       0.0%	Received TxCHSE	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	*	1.1%	0.0%	-	-	-	*	0.0%	1.7%	*
Graduates and TxCHSE       92.8%       90.2%       93.6%       *       90.9%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       88.1%       *         Graduates, TxCHSE, and Continuers       93.9%       91.3%       94.2%       *       92.0%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       92.9%       88.1%       *         Class of 2017       -       -       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Graduated       92.0%       88.0%       90.7%       *       87.5%       95.7%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Class of 2017       -       -       0.6%       0.7%       0.0%       *       0.0%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Continued HS       1.1%       1.0%       0.7%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       *         Dropped Out       6.3%       10.3%       8.6%       *       11.4%       4.3%       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Graduates, TxCHSE,       92.6% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.6%</td> <td>*</td> <td>1.1%</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>*</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>*</td>				0.6%	*	1.1%		-	-	-	*			*
Graduates ind TXCHSE       92.8%       90.2%       90.9%       90.9%       90.9%       -       -       -       -       92.9%       96.1%         Graduates, TXCHSE, and Continuers       93.9%       91.3%       94.2%       *       92.0%       96.9%       -       -       -       *       100.0%       88.1%       *         Class of 2017       0.6%       0.7%       0.0%       *       0.0%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Class of 2017       0.6%       0.7%       0.0%       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Class of 2017       0.6%       0.7%       0.0%       *       0.0%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Class of 2017       0.6%       0.7%       0.0%       *       0.0%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Class of 2017       0.6%       0.7%       0.0%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Continued HS <td< td=""><td>Dropped Out</td><td>6.1%</td><td>8.7%</td><td>5.8%</td><td>*</td><td>8.0%</td><td>3.1%</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>*</td><td>0.0%</td><td>11.9%</td><td>*</td></td<>	Dropped Out	6.1%	8.7%	5.8%	*	8.0%	3.1%	-	-	-	*	0.0%	11.9%	*
Class of 2017         Graduated       92.0%       88.0%       90.7%       *       87.5%       95.7%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Received TxCHSE       0.6%       0.7%       0.0%       *       0.0%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       0.0%       *         Continued HS       1.1%       1.0%       0.7%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       *         Dropped Out       6.3%       10.3%       8.6%       *       11.4%       4.3%       -       -       -       *       40.0%       14.1%       *         Graduates and TxCHSE       92.6%       88.7%       90.7%       *       87.5%       95.7%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *		92.8%	90.2%	93.6%	*	90.9%	96.9%	-	-	-	*	92.9%	88.1%	*
Received TxCHSE         0.6%         0.7%         0.0%         *         0.0%         0.0%         -         -         -         *         0.0%         0.0%         *           Continued HS         1.1%         1.0%         0.7%         *         1.1%         0.0%         -         -         -         *         0.0%         *           Dropped Out         6.3%         10.3%         8.6%         *         11.4%         4.3%         -         -         -         *         40.0%         14.1%         *           Graduates and TxCHSE         92.6%         88.7%         90.7%         *         87.5%         95.7%         -         -         -         *         60.0%         84.4%         *		93.9%	91.3%	94.2%	•	92.0%	96.9%	-	-	-	•	100.0%	88.1%	*
Received TxCHSE         0.6%         0.7%         0.0%         *         0.0%         0.0%         -         -         -         *         0.0%         0.0%         *           Continued HS         1.1%         1.0%         0.7%         *         1.1%         0.0%         -         -         -         *         0.0%         *           Dropped Out         6.3%         10.3%         8.6%         *         11.4%         4.3%         -         -         -         *         40.0%         14.1%         *           Graduates and TxCHSE         92.6%         88.7%         90.7%         *         87.5%         95.7%         -         -         -         *         60.0%         84.4%         *	Graduated	92.0%	88.0%	90.7%	*	87.5%	95.7%	-	-	-	*	60.0%	84.4%	*
Continued HS       1.1%       1.0%       0.7%       *       1.1%       0.0%       -       -       -       *       0.0%       1.6%       *         Dropped Out       6.3%       10.3%       8.6%       *       11.4%       4.3%       -       -       -       *       40.0%       14.1%       *         Graduates and TxCHSE       92.6%       88.7%       90.7%       *       87.5%       95.7%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *         Graduates, TxCHSE,       57.5%       95.7%       -       -       -       *       60.0%       84.4%       *					*			-	-	-	*			*
Dropped Out         6.3%         10.3%         8.6%         *         11.4%         4.3%         -         -         -         *         40.0%         14.1%         *           Graduates and TxCHSE         92.6%         88.7%         90.7%         *         87.5%         95.7%         -         -         -         *         60.0%         84.4%         *           Graduates, TxCHSE,         5         92.6%         88.7%         90.7%         *         87.5%         95.7%         -         -         -         *         60.0%         84.4%         *					*			-	-	-	*			*
Graduates and TxCHSE 92.6% 88.7% 90.7% * 87.5% 95.7% * 60.0% 84.4% * Graduates, TxCHSE,					*			-	-	-	*			*
	Graduates and TxCHSE				•			-	-	-	•			*
		93.7%	89.7%	91.4%	*	88.6%	95.7%	-	-	-	*	60.0%	85.9%	*

6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12)

#### District Name: DEVINE ISD

# Texas Education Agency

## Texas Academic Performance Report

#### 2019-20 District Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates

County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

	State	Region 20	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American	Asian	Pacific	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disady	EL (Current)
Class of 2017	Durite	Region 20	District	American	Thepathic	Winte	Indian	Asian	Isidificiel	Races		Disade	teanenu
Graduated	92.4%	89.1%	91.4%		88.6%	95.7%	-	-	-		60.0%	85.9%	
Received TxCHSE	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	
Continued HS	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	
Dropped Out	6.3%	9.6%	8.6%		11.4%	4.3%	-	-	-	•	40.0%	14.1%	
Graduates and TxCHSE	93.2%	89.9%	91.4%	•	88.6%	95.7%	-	-	-	•	60.0%	85.9%	•
Graduates, TxCHSE.													
and Continuers	93.7%	90.4%	91.4%		88.6%	95.7%	-	-	-	•	60.0%	85.9%	
Class of 2016													
Graduated	92.1%	89.4%	97.6%	-	97.5%	97.7%	-	-	-		88.2%	95.7%	
Received TxCHSE	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	
Continued HS	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%		-			0.0%	0.0%	
Dropped Out	6.6%	9.4%	2.4%	-	2.5%	2.3%					11.8%	4.3%	
Graduates and TxCHSE	92.9%	90.2%	97.6%		97.5%	97.7%					88.2%	95.7%	
Graduates, TxCHSE,	92.970	50.270	37.070	-	37.370	37.770	-	-	-		00.276	93.776	
and Continuers	93.4%	90.6%	97.6%		97.5%	97.7%					88.2%	95.7%	
and Continuers	93.470	90.0%	97.0%	-	97.370	97.7%	-	-	-		00.2%	95.7%	-
4-Year Federal Graduation Rate	Without Ex	clusions (Gr 9-1	12)										
Class of 2019	90.0%	88.2%	96.8%	-	97.8%	95.2%	-	-	-	•	94.4%	94.1%	-
Class of 2018	90.0%	87.1%	92.9%	•	89.8%	96.9%	-	-	-	•	92.9%	86.4%	•
RHSP/DAP Graduates (Longitu	dinal Rate)												
Class of 2019	73.3%	63.0%	•	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2018	68.5%	67.5%	•	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	•	-
FHSP-E Graduates (Longitudin		2.20/	0.0%		0.00/	0.00/					0.0%	0.0%	
Class of 2019	4.2%	3.2%			0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-				
Class of 2018	5.0%	1.6%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	
FHSP-DLA Graduates (Longitud													
Class of 2019	83.5%	81.1%	88.4%	-	87.7%	89.3%	-	-	-	•	55.6%	83.9%	-
Class of 2018	82.0%	81.1%	88.1%	•	87.3%	88.3%	-	-	-	•	61.5%	87.8%	•
RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA	Graduates (	Longitudinal R	ate)										
Class of 2019	87.6%	84.3%	87.8%	-	86.6%	89.3%	-	-	-	•	55.6%	83.9%	-
Class of 2018	86.8%	82.5%	88.3%	•	87.3%	88.7%	-	-	-	•	61.5%	88.2%	•
DUCD DAD Conductor (Association													
RHSP/DAP Graduates (Annual		16.7%											
2018-19	32.7%		:	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-
2017-18	37.7%	21.7%		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-
FHSP-E Graduates (Annual Rat													
2018-19	4.4%	3.4%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	-
2017-18	4.9%	1.3%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	•
FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual	Rate)												
2018-19	82.1%	79.9%	87.8%	-	87.1%	88.7%	-	-	-	•	55.6%	81.8%	-
2017-18	81.5%	76.7%	88.3%		87.3%	88.7%		-	-		61.5%	87.8%	
2017-10	01.070	19.179	00.070		07.070	00.778	-	-	-		01.070	07.078	

RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual Rate)

## Texas Education Agency

District Name: DEVINE ISD

## Texas Academic Performance Report

#### 2019-20 District Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates

County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

										Two or			
				African			American		Pacific	More	Special	Econ	EL
	State	Region 20	District	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Ed	Disady	(Current)
2018-19	85.9%	82.9%	87.8%	-	87.1%	88.7%	-	-	-	•	55.6%	81.8%	-
2017-18	85.1%	76.8%	88.4%	•	87.3%	88.9%	-	-	-	•	61.5%	88.0%	•

District Name: DEVINE ISD

#### County Name: MEDINA

District Number: 163901

## Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Graduation Profile

	District Count	District Percent	State Count	State Percent
Graduates (2018-19 Annual Graduates)				
Total Graduates	147	100.0%	355,615	100.0%
By Ethnicity:				
African American	0	0.0%	43,953	12.4%
Hispanic	89	60.5%	180,673	50.8%
White	57	38.8%	105,577	29.7%
American Indian	0	0.0%	1,293	0.4%
Asian	0	0.0%	16,564	4.7%
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	537	0.2%
Two or More Races	1	0.7%	7,018	2.0%
By Graduation Type:				
Minimum H.S. Program	0	0.0%	2,248	0.6%
Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program	0	0.0%	1,090	0.3%
Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement)	25	17.0%	51,579	14.5%
Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement)	0	0.0%	15,160	4.3%
Foundation H.S. Program (DLA)	122	83.0%	285,538	80.3%
Special Education Graduates	17	11.6%	27,598	7.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Graduates	62	42.2%	186,364	52.4%
LEP Graduates	0	0.0%	25,189	7.1%
At-Risk Graduates	64	43.5%	146,432	41.2%

#### Texas Education Agency

District Name: DEVINE ISD

## Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)

County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

	State	Region 20	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disady	EL (Current)
College, Career, and Military Read	y Gradua				maganic	Trince,	indian	Asian	Islander	Races	20	Disdur	(currenty
College, Career, or Military Ready	(Annual C	Graduates)											
2018-19	72.9%	68.9%	65.0%	-	59.0%	73.7%	-	-	-	•	61.8%	54.0%	-
2017-18	65.5%	61.5%	58.9%	•	50.0%	70.6%	-	-	-	•	73.1%	39.0%	•
College Ready Graduates													
College Ready (Annual Graduates)													
2018-19	53.0%	48.7%	36.1%	-	28.1%	47.4%	-	-	-	•	17.6%	14.5%	-
2017-18	50.0%	46.7%	34.2%	•	21.5%	50.8%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	16.0%	•
TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual Gra English Language Arts	aduates)												
2018-19	60.7%	58.5%	42.9%	-	32.6%	57.9%			-		17.6%	19.4%	
2017-18	58.2%	57.8%	41.8%		32.9%	49.2%		-	-		7.7%	32.0%	
Mathematics	30.270	37.076	41.070		32.370	43.270					1.1 /0	52.076	
2018-19	48.6%	45.1%	36.7%	-	27.0%	52.6%	-	-	-	•	52.9%	17.7%	-
2017-18	46.0%	43.5%	21.9%		11.4%	34.9%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	4.0%	•
Both Subjects													
2018-19	44.2%	40.9%	23.1%	-	12.4%	40.4%	-	-	-	•	5.9%	3.2%	-
2017-18	42.1%	40.0%	20.5%	•	11.4%	31.7%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	4.0%	•
Dual Course Credits (Annual Grad Any Subject	uates)												
2018-19	23.1%	23.0%	30.6%	-	24.7%	38.6%	-	-	-	•	17.6%	11.3%	-
2017-18	20.7%	21.1%	32.2%	•	20.3%	47.6%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	16.0%	•
AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject Any Subject	(Annual G	raduates)											
2018-19	21.1%	19.5%	10.2%	-	9.0%	12.3%	-	-	-	•	11.8%	1.6%	-
2017-18	20.4%	19.3%	8.2%	•	5.1%	11.1%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	2.0%	•
Associate's Degree													
Associate's Degree (Annual Grad											_		
2018-19	1.9%	2.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	
2017-18	1.4%	1.2%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	•
OnRamps Course Credits (Annual													
2018-19	2.3%	4.1%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	:	0.0%	0.0%	
2017-18	1.0%	1.1%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	•
Career/Military Ready Graduates													
Career or Military Ready (Annual C	Graduates	)											
2018-19	40.4%	37.7%	45.2%	-	43.3%	48.2%	-	-	-	•	58.8%	45.2%	-
2017-18	28.7%	25.4%	37.3%	•	38.0%	36.5%	-	-	-	•	73.1%	29.0%	•
Approved Industry-Based Certific													
2018-19	10.7%	7.5%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	-
2017-18	4.8%	3.7%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	•

Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce Readiness (Annual Graduates)

TEA | Governance and Accountability | Performance Reporting

## Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report

District Name: DEVINE ISD

#### 2019-20 District College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)

County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

	State	Region 20	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disady	EL (Current)
2018-19	2.3%	2.1%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-			•	0.0%	0.0%	-
2017-18	1.7%	1.3%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	•
CTE Coherent Sequence Co	ursework Alig	ned with Industr	y-Based Cer	tifications (Anr	nual Graduates)								
2018-19	55.6%	53.0%	79.6%	-	74.2%	87.7%	-	-	-	•	88.2%	75.8%	-
2017-18	38.7%	33.5%	59.6%	•	60.8%	57.1%	-	-	-	•	69.2%	52.0%	•
U.S. Armed Forces Enlistme	nt(Annual Gra	aduates)											
2018-19	5.0%	5.1%	6.1%	-	6.7%	5.3%	-	-	-		5.9%	8.1%	-
2017-18	4.3%	4.2%	4.8%	•	3.8%	6.3%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	2.0%	•
Graduates under an Advance	ed Degree Pla	n and Identified	as a current	Special Educa	ation Student (A	nnual Gradua	ates)						
2018-19	2.7%	2.9%	3.4%	-	3.4%	3.5%		-	-		29.4%	3.2%	
2017-18	2.6%	2.6%	5.5%		6.3%	4.8%					61.5%	4.0%	
2017-10	2.070	2.0%	3.376		0.376	4.076	-	-	-		01.5%	4.076	
Graduates with Level I or Lev	el II Certificat	e (Annual Grad	uates)										
2018-19	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	-
2017-18	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	•

#### District Name: DEVINE ISD

## Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District CCMR-Related Indicators

County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

	State	Region 20	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American	Asian	Pacific	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ	EL (Current)
TSIA Results (Graduates >= Crit			District	American	nispanic	write	inulari	Asiali	Isidifuer	Races	Eu	Disduv	Currenty
Reading													
2018-19	33.4%	32.9%	12.2%	-	7.9%	17.5%	-	-	-	•	11.8%	11.3%	-
2017-18	32.1%	32.8%	19.2%		15.2%	20.6%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	16.0%	
Mathematics	52.170	52.070			13.270	20.070					0.070	10.070	
2018-19	24.7%	25.8%	12.9%	-	9.0%	19.3%	-	-	-		5.9%	6.5%	-
2017-18	23.7%	25.4%	9.6%		6.3%	14.3%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	2.0%	
Both Subjects					0.070								
2018-19	18.8%	21.0%	3.4%	-	0.0%	8.8%		-	-		5.9%	1.6%	-
2017-18	18.1%	20.9%	4.1%	•	3.8%	4.8%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	•
CTE Coherent Sequence (Annua		-)											
2018-19	59.0%	57.5%	80.3%	-	75.3%	87.7%		-	-		88.2%	75.8%	-
2017-18	58.4%	58.7%	95.9%		93.7%	98.4%		-			100.0%	98.0%	
2017-10	30.470	30.776	55.576		33.776	30.476					100.076	50.074	
Completed and Received Credit English Language Arts	for College	Prep Courses	Annual Gra	iduates)									
2018-19	5.1%	3.1%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	-
2017-18	2.0%	1.1%	2.7%	•	3.8%	1.6%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	4.0%	•
Mathematics													
2018-19	7.3%	5.8%	10.2%	-	12.4%	7.0%	-	-	-	•	47.1%	11.3%	-
2017-18	3.9%	3.1%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	•
Both Subjects													
2018-19	2.6%	1.6%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	-
2017-18	0.9%	0.3%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	•
AP/IB Results (Participation) (Gr All Subjects	ades 11-12	)											
2019	25.2%	25.7%	4.3%	-	5.3%	2.9%	-	-	•	•	n/a	1.6%	n/a
2018	25.8%	27.3%	3.6%		3.4%	3.9%	-	-	-	•	n/a	0.0%	n/a
English Language Arts													
2019	14.5%	15.9%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	•	•	n/a	0.0%	n/a
2018	15.3%	16.9%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	n/a	0.0%	n/a
Mathematics													
2019	7.4%	6.5%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	•	•	n/a	0.0%	n/a
2018	7.3%	7.4%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	n/a	0.0%	n/a
Science													
2019	10.4%	9.3%	1.4%	-	1.8%	1.0%	-	-	•	•	n/a	0.0%	n/a
2018	10.8%	11.6%	0.6%	•	0.0%	1.6%	-	-	-	•	n/a	0.0%	n/a
Social Studies													
2019	13.9%	15.1%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	•	•	n/a	0.0%	n/a
2018	14.5%	16.3%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	n/a	0.0%	n/a
AP/IB Results (Examinees >= Cri All Subjects	iterion) (Gra	ades 11-12)											
2019	51.0%	47.4%	58.3%	-	55.6%	•	-	-	-	-	n/a	•	n/a
2018	50.7%	46.6%	90.9%	-	100.0%	80.0%	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a
English Language Arts													
2019	41.2%	38.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a
2018	42.5%	39.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a

#### District Name: DEVINE ISD

## Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District CCMR-Related Indicators

County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

										Two or			
				African			American		Pacific	More	Special	Econ	EL
	State	Region 20	District	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Ed	Disady	(Current)
Mathematics													
2019	52.2%	45.0%		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a
2018	52.8%	43.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a
Science	02.070												
2019	40.6%	42.5%					-	-			n/a	-	n/a
2019	38.0%	36.1%								-	n/a	-	n/a
Social Studies	30.076	30.176		-	-		-	-	-	-	IVa	-	i va
	46.204	12.00/											- 1-
2019 2018	46.3%	42.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a n/a	-	n/a
2018	44.6%	38.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a
SAT/ACT Results (Annual Grad Tested	duates)												
2018-19	75.00/	82.5%	54.4%		44.00/	68.4%					-	20.00/	-
2018-19	75.0% 74.6%	83.9%	54.4% 47.9%		44.9% 41.8%	54.0%	-	-	-		n/a n/a	29.0% 28.8%	n/a n/a
	/4.0%	03.9%	47.9%	-	41.070	54.0%	-	-	-	-	fVd	20.0%	nva
At/Above Criterion for All													
Examinees													
2018-19	36.1%	32.8%	28.8%	-	12.5%	46.2%	-	-	-	•	n/a	0.0%	n/a
2017-18	37.9%	34.3%	27.1%	•	15.2%	38.2%	-	-	-	•	n/a	6.7%	n/a
Average SAT Score (Annual G All Subjects	iraduates)												
2018-19	1027	993	1025	-	991	1055	-	-	-	-	n/a	926	n/a
2017-18	1036	1001	1002	•	958	1047	-	-	-	•	n/a	925	n/a
English Language Arts													
and Writing													
2018-19	517	501	528	-	508	546	-	-		-	n/a	477	n/a
2017-18	521	505	516		495	539	-	-	-		n/a	482	n/a
Mathematics	321	303	510		455	333					160	402	194
2018-19	510	491	497		483	509				-	n/a	449	n/a
2018-19	510	496	497		463	509	-	-	-		n/a	449	n/a
2017-18	515	490	400		405	500	-	-	-		IVa	445	TVd.
Average ACT Score (Annual G All Subjects	iraduates)												
2018-19	20.6	21.1	19.0	-	17.1	20.1	-	-			n/a	15.8	n/a
2017-18	20.6	21.0	18.6		17.7	19.6	_		-		n/a	15.8	n/a
English Language Arts	20.0	21.0	10.0		17.7	13.0					160	13.0	194
2018-19	20.3	21.0	19.2		16.9	20.4					n/a	15.6	n/a
							-	-	-				
2017-18	20.3	20.8	18.2		17.2	19.5	-	-	-		n/a	14.3	n/a
Mathematics													
2018-19	20.4	20.6	17.8	-	16.1	19.2	-	-	-	•	n/a	15.3	n/a
2017-18	20.6	20.7	18.2	•	17.1	19.1	-	-	-	•	n/a	15.0	n/a
Science													
2018-19	20.8	21.3	19.2	-	17.7	20.1	-	-	-	•	n/a	16.4	n/a
2017-18	20.9	21.3	19.7	•	19.1	20.4	-	-	-	•	n/a	18.4	n/a

Two or

#### .

District Name: DEVINE ISD

County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

## Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report

## 2019-20 District Other Postsecondary Indicators

										Two or			
				African			American		Pacific	More	Special	Econ	EL
		Region 20	District	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Ed	Disady	(Current)
Advanced Dual-Credit Course	Completion (	Grades 9-12)											
Any Subject													
2018-19	44.6%	42.9%	37.1%	•	35.7%	38.6%	-	-	•	50.0%	17.6%	26.8%	0.0%
2017-18	43.4%	41.5%	40.2%	•	32.8%	50.2%	-	-	•	71.4%	20.3%	28.9%	33.3%
English Language Arts													
2018-19	17.8%	16.8%	12.5%	•	9.3%	16.5%	-	-	•	33.3%	1.7%	3.8%	0.0%
2017-18	17.3%	16.3%	12.5%	•	8.7%	17.2%	-	-	•	28.6%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Mathematics													
2018-19	20.4%	20.0%	20.4%	•	19.3%	22.1%	-	-	•	16.7%	4.0%	13.1%	0.0%
2017-18	20.7%	20.4%	19.5%	•	15.8%	24.1%	-	-	•	42.9%	1.7%	10.2%	18.2%
Science													
2018-19	21.7%	21.2%	22.0%	•	20.8%	22.9%	-	-	•	50.0%	12.5%	14.9%	0.0%
2017-18	21.2%	21.0%	27.2%	•	24.5%	30.6%	-	-	•	50.0%	17.5%	20.9%	20.0%
Social Studies													
2018-19	23.6%	23.7%	16.4%	•	12.5%	22.1%	-	-	•	0.0%	5.4%	7.8%	0.0%
2017-18	22.8%	23.2%	17.1%	•	11.3%	23.9%	-	-	•	57.1%	0.0%	7.2%	9.1%
Graduates Enrolled in Texas In	stitution of H	igher Education	n (TX IHE)										
2017-18	53.4%	51.9%	40.4%		31.6%	49.2%	-	-	-	•	23.1%	30.8%	
2016-17	54.6%	53.1%	49.6%	•	41.8%	66.0%	-	-	-	•	•	30.4%	•
Creductes in TX IIIE Completi		Without Encolle	mentin - De										
Graduates in TX IHE Completin				evelopmental t								20.00/	
2017-18 2016-17	60.7% 59.2%	57.7%	50.9% 48.3%	-	41.7%	60.7% 55.2%	-	-	-			20.0%	-
2010-17	59.2%	55.3%	48.3%	-	40.0%	55.2%	-	-	-		-	37.5%	-

Two or

## Texas Education Agency

#### District Name: DEVINE ISD

County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

# Texas Academic Performance Report

2019-20 District Student Information

			ip			Enrollmen	t	
	Di	strict	S	tate	Di	strict	S	tate
Student Information	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Total Students	1,967	100.0%	5,479,173	100.0%	1,973	100.0%	5,493,940	100.0%
Students by Grade:								
Early Childhood Education	4	0.2%	16,848	0.3%	10	0.5%	25,883	0.5%
Pre-Kindergarten	98	5.0%	248,413	4.5%	98	5.0%	249,226	4.5%
Kindergarten	141	7.2%	383,585	7.0%	141	7.1%	384,114	7.0%
Grade 1	145	7.4%	391,175	7.1%	145	7.3%	391,449	7.1%
Grade 2	148	7.5%	388,370	7.1%	148	7.5%	388,675	7.1%
Grade 3	125	6.4%	391,565	7.1%	125	6.3%	391,795	7.1%
Grade 4	136	6.9%	399,883	7.3%	136	6.9%	400,111	7.3%
Grade 5	155	7.9%	417,272	7.6%	155	7.9%	417,444	7.6%
Grade 6	149	7.6%	422,605	7.7%	149	7.6%	422,740	7.7%
Grade 7	142	7.2%	423,421	7.7%	142	7.2%	423,545	7.7%
Grade 8	162	8.2%	411,170	7.5%	162	8.2%	411,272	7.5%
Grade 9	157	8.0%	448,929	8.2%	157	8.0%	449,122	8.2%
Grade 10	127	6.5%	406,785	7.4%	127	6.4%	407,044	7.4%
Grade 11	149	7.6%	376,894	6.9%	149	7.6%	377,208	6.9%
Grade 12	129	6.6%	352,258	6.4%	129	6.5%	354,312	6.4%
Ethnic Distribution:								
African American	4	0.2%	691,582	12.6%	4	0.2%	692,925	12.6%
Hispanic	1,276	64.9%	2,892,928	52.8%	1,280	64.9%	2,899,504	52.8%
White	661	33.6%	1,477,699	27.0%	663	33.6%	1,483,688	27.0%
American Indian	3	0.2%	19,999	0.4%	3	0.2%	20,062	0.4%
Asian	1	0.1%	250,065	4.6%	1	0.1%	250,463	4.6%
Pacific Islander	2	0.1%	8,466	0.2%	2	0.1%	8,481	0.2%
Two or More Races	20	1.0%	138,434	2.5%	20	1.0%	138,817	2.5%
Sex:								
Female	962	48.9%	2,673,270	48.8%	965	48.9%	2,678,619	48.8%
Male	1,005	51.1%	2,805,903	51.2%	1,008	51.1%	2,815,321	51.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	1,056	53.7%	3,303,974	60.3%	1,056	53.5%	3,309,610	60.2%
Non-Educationally Disadvantaged	911	46.3%	2,175,199	39.7%	917	46.5%	2,184,330	39.8%
Section 504 Students	255	13.0%	376,734	6.9%	255	12.9%	376,956	6.9%
English Learners (EL)	87	4.4%	1,112,674	20.3%	87	4.4%	1,113,536	20.3%
Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2018-19)	26	1.2%	82,551	1.5%				
Students w/ Dyslexia	140	7.1%	224,619	4.1%	140	7.1%	224,741	4.1%
Foster Care	13	0.7%	17,393	0.3%	13	0.7%	17,451	0.3%
Homeless	27	1.4%	78,178	1.4%	27	1.4%	78,296	1.4%
Immigrant	6	0.3%	126,747	2.3%	6	0.3%	126,858	2.3%
Migrant	11	0.6%	18,888	0.3%	11	0.6%	18,992	0.3%
Title I	969	49.3%	3,568,526	65.1%	975	49.4%	3,576,850	65.1%
Military Connected	48	2.4%	105,751	1.9%	48	2.4%	105,787	1.9%
At-Risk	922	46.9%	2,773,390	50.6%	922	46.7%	2,776,481	50.5%

TEA | Governance and Accountability | Performance Reporting

## Texas Education Agency

#### District Name: DEVINE ISD

County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

### Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Student Information

		Membership			Enrollment			
	Di	strict	S	tate	Di	strict	S	tate
Student Information	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Students by Instructional Program:								
Bilingual/ESL Education	82	4.2%	1,128,904	20.6%	82	4.2%	1,129,558	20.6%
Career & Technical Education	610	31.0%	1,512,219	27.6%	02	4.2.70	1,123,330	20.076
Career & Technical Education Career & Technical Education (9-12 grades only)	360	64.1%	805,496	50.8%	360	64.1%	806,117	50.8%
Gifted & Talented Education	108	5.5%	444,125	8.1%	108	5.5%	444,196	8.1%
Special Education	252	12.8%	577,868	10.5%	258	13.1%	587,987	10.7%
Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability:								
Total Students with Disabilities	252		577,868					
By Type of Primary Disability								
Students with Intellectual Disabilities	76	30.2%	245,216	42.4%				
Students with Physical Disabilities	87	34.5%	123.847	21.4%				
Students with Autism	**	**	79,952	13.8%				
Students with Behavioral Disabilities	56	22.2%	120,042	20.8%				
Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood	•	•	8,811	1.5%				
Mobility (2018-19):								
Total Mobile Students	202	10.8%	806,375	15.3%				

	-Non-Special Educat	<ul> <li>Special Education Rates-</li> </ul>		
Student Information	District	State	District	State
Retention Rates by Grade:				
Kindergarten	2.5%	1.6%	0.0%	5.5%
Grade 1	3.3%	2.9%	10.3%	4.9%
Grade 2	2.9%	1.6%	4.5%	2.0%
Grade 3	1.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.8%
Grade 4	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%
Grade 5	2.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.5%
Grade 6	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.5%
Grade 7	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%
Grade 8	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%
Grade 9	0.0%	7.8%	0.0%	13.1%
Glade 5	0.078	7.070	0.076	13.1

	Dis	strict	State		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Data Quality: Underreported Students	1	0.1%	5,686	0.2%	

### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Student Information

District Name: DEVINE ISD County Name: MEDINA District Number: 163901

Class Size Information	District	State
Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject		
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):		
Elementary:		
Kindergarten	23.3	19.0
Grade 1	22.0	18.9
Grade 2	25.2	18.8
Grade 3	18.1	19.0
Grade 4	17.5	19.2
Grade 5	21.6	20.9
Grade 6	19.3	20.4
Secondary:	174	
English/Language Arts	17.1	16.4
Foreign Languages	26.4	18.7
Mathematics	15.0	17.8
Science	17.9	18.8
Social Studies	21.0	19.3

## Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Staff Information

#### District Name: DEVINE ISD

## County Name: MEDINA

District Number: 163901

	D	State		
Staff Information	Count	Percent	Count	Percen
Fotal Staff	290.3	100.0%	734,726.4	100.09
Professional Staff.	173.6	59.8%	468,132.4	63.7%
Teachers	136.3	46.9%	363,121.3	49.49
Professional Support	23.3	8.0%	74.698.8	10.29
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	10.5	3.6%	21,960.1	3.09
Central Administration	3.5	1.2%	8,352.3	1.19
Educational Aides:	51.4	17.7%	78.096.8	10.6
Auxiliary Staff:	65.3	22.5%	188,497.2	25.79
ibrarians & Counselors (Headcount):				
Librarians				
Full-time	1.0	n/a	4,373.0	n
Part-time	0.0	n/a	595.0	n
Counselors				
Full-time	5.0	n/a	12,901.0	n
Part-time	0.0	n/a	1,103.0	n
Total Minority Staff.	111.8	38.5%	375,758.9	51.19
Feachers by Ethnicity and Sex:				
African American	2.0	1.5%	39,132.5	10.89
Hispanic	34.3	25.1%	102,099.7	28.1
White	98.1	71.9%	209,453.0	57.7
American Indian	0.0	0.0%	1,239.6	0.3
Asian	0.0	0.0%	6,393.2	1.8
Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0%	638.2	0.2
Two or More Races	2.0	1.5%	4,165.2	1.19
Males	32.2	23.6%	86,302.4	23.89
Females	104.1	76.4%	276,818.8	76.29
Feachers by Highest Degree Held:				
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	4,859.9	1.39
Bachelors	99.7	73.2%	266,596.3	73.49
Masters	36.6	26.8%	89,088.4	24.5
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	2,576.8	0.79
Feachers by Years of Experience:				
Beginning Teachers	1.0	0.7%	26,878.7	7.4
1-5 Years Experience	17.0	12.5%	101,305.8	27.9
6-10 Years Experience	27.6	20.2%	70,305.4	19.49
11-20 Years Experience	55.8	40.9%	106,767.7	29.4
Over 20 Years Experience	35.0	25.7%	57,863.9	15.99
Number of Students per Teacher	14.4	n/a	15.1	n

TEA | Governance and Accountability | Performance Reporting

Devine ISD District Improvement Plan 61

## Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Staff Information

District Name: DEVINE ISD

County Name: MEDINA

District Number: 163901

Staff Information	District	State
Experience of Campus Leadership:		
Average Years Experience of Principals	9.2	6.2
Average Years Experience of Principals with District	7.0	5.3
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals	6.0	5.3
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District	5.4	4.7
Average Years Experience of Teachers:	14.8	11.1
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:	9.3	7.2
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only):		
Beginning Teachers	\$48,902	\$49,868
1-5 Years Experience	\$49,053	\$52,823
6-10 Years Experience	\$54,002	\$55,756
11-20 Years Experience	\$55,603	\$59,308
Over 20 Years Experience	\$61,901	\$65,449
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):		
Teachers	\$56,029	\$57,091
Professional Support	\$62,436	\$67,352
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	\$81,814	\$82,512
Central Administration	\$107,703	\$108,367
Instructional Staff Percent:	65.5%	64.6%
Turnover Rate for Teachers:	14.1%	16.8%
Staff Exclusions:		
Shared Services Arrangement Staff.		
Professional Staff	0.0	1,122.5
Educational Aides	0.0	189.0
Auxiliary Staff	0.0	399.5
Contracted Instructional Staff:	0.4	6,309.0

#### District Name: DEVINE ISD

County Name: MEDINA

District Number: 163901

#### Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Staff Information

		District	S	State	
Program Information	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Teeshere his Drearen (nendetien een ed):					
Teachers by Program (population served):		0.00/	22.626.0	6.5%	
Bilingual/ESL Education	0.0	0.0%	23,626.0	6.5%	
Career & Technical Education	9.9	7.3%	18,120.4	5.0%	
Compensatory Education	11.1	8.2%	10,147.3	2.8%	
Gifted & Talented Education	7.6	5.6%	7,053.3	1.9%	
Regular Education	93.8	68.8%	257,548.7	70.9%	
Special Education	13.8	10.1%	33,620.4	9.3%	
Other	0.0	0.0%	13,005.2	3.6%	
Olie	0.0	0.078	13,003.2	5.676	

" Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

"\*\*' When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.

Indicates there are no students in the group.

'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

#### Link to: PEIMS Financial Standard Reports 2018-19 Financial Actual Report

Page 29

November 2020

# Link to: PEIMS Financial Standard Reports 2018-19 Financial Actual Report

# **ADDENDUMS:**

## **Devine ISD Technology Plan 2020-2021**

## Goal 1: Teaching and Learning

- 1. Increase accessibility to technology for students, teachers, staff and administrators at the district.
- 2. Administrators and teachers will utilize electronic resources to build and enhance curriculum.
- 3. Enhance the delivery of instruction via interactive technology.

Goal 2: Preparation and Professional Development

- 1. Enhance professional development by providing more training opportunities for all staff members.
- 2. Provide professional development to ensure staff become proficient in technology use.
- 3. Train and support administrators, teachers and students to interchangeably use and manage a collaborative cloud based environment.

## Goal 3: Administration and Instructional Support

- 1. Develop and implement a budget plan for operational technologies such as hardware, software, services and professional development.
- 2. Ensure administrative support is provided to meet all informational needs.
- 3. Offer the informational and educational technology resources needed to meet learning requirements of educators, students and parents.

## Goal 4: Infrastructure

1. Provide technology infrastructure to connect all classrooms, libraries, departments, and offices within the district to support and enhance the instructional and technological efforts of students and district staff.

2. Improve the district's computer and network infrastructure to meet the needs of educators, students, and community members.

3. Undertake a 1:1 device to student ratio district wide.

# **Use of Other Resources**

In addition to the regular instructional program, the school provides a variety of special programs to meet the needs of all students who attend the school. Some of the special programs include the following:

- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Special Education. This is state and federally funded program designed to meet the special needs of children with disabilities. Only students that have been identified by the Admissions, Review, and Dismissal (ARD) committee can participate in this program. Devine ISD participates in a special education cooperative to provide special services which include a resource room, speech therapy, occupational therapy, physical therapy, a diagnostician, and a counselor.
- 2. State Compensatory Education (SCE). Funds from this state-funded program are currently being used to fund tutorials to provide accelerated and more individualized instruction to students at risk.
- 3. ESSA, Title I-Part A, Part A-Devine ISD uses its Title I-Part A funds to implement school wide programs at Ciavarra Elementary and Devine Intermediate. The programs at each campus are designed to upgrade the entire instructional program at the school. The programs provide supplemental reading and math instruction, and are enhanced with special materials, personnel and equipment. The programs also support intensive and sustained professional development and parental involvement.
- 4. ESSA, Title II-Part A: Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting Fund Devine ISD uses these federal funds to create a district-wide teacher mentoring program and to provide professional development activities taking place both on and off its campuses. These funds are also used for personnel to reduce (English) class sizes at Devine Middle School.
- 5. ESSA, Title III-Part A: English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act These federal funds are used to support limited English proficient and immigrant children to attain English proficiency, with emphasis on academic proficiency.
- 6. ESSA, Title IV-Part A: Student Support and Academic Improvement Grant- Intended to improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity of districts to: provide all students with access to a well-rounded education; improve school conditions for student learning; and improve the use of technology in order to improve the academic achievement and digital literacy of all students.
- 7. Career and Technology Education Computers and software are purchased through the federal career and technology education program at the high school campus. With the assistance of the software, career awareness and guidance are offered to enable students to follow career pathways and learn the skills necessary to be successful in the modern day workplace upon graduation. Funding also pays for program coordination and professional development activities for faculty.
- 8. English as a Second Language (ESL) Certified teachers use the ESL methodology in a variety of settings for the limited English proficient (LEP) students identified by the language proficiency assessment committee (LPAC). This funding goes towards providing stipends for ELA teachers who are required to be ESL certified as well as special supplies and materials used to enhance the instruction.

# **Overview of State Compensatory Education**

State Compensatory Education (SCE) is the state's means for addressing the unmet needs of students in "at-risk" situations, i.e., are not functioning at grade level. These funds are to be used to improve and enhance the programs funded under the regular educational program by addressing the needs of students who are at risk of failure and/or of dropping out of school.

#### Students Eligibility

The Texas Education Code (TEC §29.081) provides criteria for identifying students who are "at risk," that is, those who are eligible to receive the SCE services. As amended by S.B. 702, "student at risk of dropping out of school" includes each student under 21 years of age who:

(1) was not advanced from one grade level to the next for one or more school years;

(2) if the student is in grade 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, or 12, did not maintain an average equivalent to 70 on a scale of 100 in two or more subjects in the foundation curriculum during a semester in the preceding or current school year or is not maintaining such an average in two or more subjects in the foundation curriculum in the current semester;

(3) did not perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument administered to the student under Subchapter B, Chapter 39, and who has not in the previous or current school year subsequently performed on that instrument or another appropriate instrument at a level equal to at least 110 percent of the level of satisfactory performance on that instrument;

(4) if the student is in pre-kindergarten, kindergarten, or grade 1, 2, or 3, did not perform satisfactorily on a readiness test or assessment instrument administered during the current school year;

(5) is pregnant or is a parent;

(6) has been placed in an alternative education program in accordance with Section 37.006 during the preceding or current school year;

(7) has been expelled in accordance with Section 37.007 during the preceding or current school year;

(8) is currently on parole, probation, deferred prosecution, or other conditional release;

(9) was previously reported through the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) to have dropped out of school;

(10) is a student of limited English proficiency, as defined by Section 29.052;

(11) is in the custody or care of the Department of Protective and Regulatory Services or has, during the current school year, been referred to the department by a school official, officer of the juvenile court, or law enforcement official;

(12) is homeless, as defined by 42 U.S.C. Section 11302, and its subsequent amendments; or

(13) resided in the preceding school year or resides in the current school year in a residential placement facility in the district, including a detention facility, substance abuse treatment facility, emergency shelter, psychiatric hospital, halfway house, or foster group home.

In addition to the above list, the local education agency may establish local criteria for identifying students who are at risk. However, the number of students served using local criteria during a school year may not exceed ten percent of the number of students served using state-defined criteria during the preceding school year. Students identified solely under local criteria are not included in the PEIMS count.

Local Criteria: On November 15, 2004, the DISD School Board approved Dyslexia as a local criteria for at-risk according to House Bill 1691 that allows charges to SCE allotment for dyslexia students.

Module 9 of the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (FASRG) clearly states that the SCE funds "are intended for the primary benefit of students in at-risk situations, as defined in Texas Education Code Section 29.081" [FASRG, §9.2.3.1]. In addition, students who do not meet the criteria in TEC (e.g., those identified solely under local criteria) may receive an incidental benefit. "Incidental inclusion" of students generally means that (1) their inclusion does not increase the cost of the program, and (2) students identified under the TEC criteria are not denied SCE services. In any case, SCE services may not be used to provide services to students identified solely by the local criteria in the absence of students who meet the criteria established in the TEC. The defense of the local criteria and the inclusion of students are the responsibility of Devine ISD.

# **District SCE Policies and Procedures**

The Devine ISD has adopted the following administrative policies and procedures for identifying students:

(1) Students shall be identified as meeting one or more of the at-risk criteria as defined in TEC Section 29.081 annually when that information is accumulated for the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS).

(2) The district does use one local criteria to identify students in at-risk situations; dyslexia. House Bill 1691 allows charges to SCE allotment for dyslexia students. However, the number of students receiving services under this subsection during a school year may not exceed 10% of the number of students who met the State criteria under Section 29.081 of the Texas education code who receive services from the district during the preceding school year. Dyslexia cannot be used for PEIMS coding as at risk.

(3) Students meeting one or more of at-risk criteria as defined in TEC Section 29.081 will be considered for placement in one or more of the programs and/or services currently being implemented with funds under the State Compensatory Education (SCE) program. Students most in need based on their performance on the various assessment instruments administered by the district, number of years retained, etc., and upon the recommendation of the campus contact, in consultation with the principal and/or appropriate staff, will be entered into a program or service that best addresses their individual needs. In lieu of the COVID 19 crisis and the offering of remote learning and in alignment with state rules for SCE in lieu of COVID-19, primary school-aged children who do not engage in BOY testing will be identified as at-risk as necessary and appropriate.

(4) Students who demonstrate sustained success in mastering the success criteria defined in the summative evaluation for the SCE program and/or service to which they have been assigned may be exited from the program and/or service upon the recommendation of the campus contact and/or appropriate staff.

(5) The district has established staffing ratios and financial allocation standards for basic education programs to ensure that all SCE-funded activities are supplemental. Devine ISD uses all SCE funds to supplement services beyond those offered through the regular education program, as per state guidelines to provide base services at the DAEP. Staffing ratio standards for Grade 5 is 25 to 1 and for the secondary campuses, 28 to 1.

(6) Devine ISD combines its SCE funding with Title I-Part A School wide funding on two campuses: Ciavarra Elementary and Devine Intermediate. Devine Middle School-and Devine High School are not a Title I-Part A campuses. According to interpretations of Senate Bill 702 by the Texas Education Agency, a campus using SCE funds to support a Title I-Part A school wide program (on a campus with over 40% students from low-income families) is *not* responsible for meeting the intent and purpose of SCE; or for providing supplemental services to children identified as at risk of dropping out of school under the state at-risk criteria; or for reporting supplemental SCE FTEs in the CIP; or for implementing the policies and procedures required under SCE; or for evaluating the SCE program.

When using SCE funds to support a Title I-Part A school wide program, the SCE funds are monitored according to the audit requirements and the rules and regulations that govern the Title I-Part A, Part A school wide program. Combining SCE funds on a school wide campus allows schools to address needs in an integrated way and relieves schools from the burden of documenting that a specific program dollar was expended for a specific program activity. In other words, the SCE funds lose their "program" identity. However, the SCE funds do NOT lose their "fiscal" identity, and these funds are not fiscally combined with Title I-Part A, Part A for accounting purposes. SCE expenditures must be tracked back to the SCE fund code, and all generally accepted accounting principles must be followed.

Devine ISD conducts an annual needs assessment to identify students who have not made, or are not making satisfactory academic progress and students with non-academic problems that may inhibit academic success. This information is used to set priorities and goals, to allocate available financial and support resources, and to determine whether the redirection of the SCE programs and services is needed.

# Campus-Level SCE Services 2021-2022

Based upon students' qualifying criteria, the following tables outline each campus specific service funded by State Compensatory dollars. As additional students are identified and student needs are determined, additional services may be added, and others may be modified or deleted.

## John J. Ciavarra Elementary School

Strategy/Activity	Resources	Staff Responsible	Timeline & SW Component	Formative Evaluation	Summative
Instruction and Intervention K-2 <sup>nd</sup> - Provide early reading intervention to low-achieving students by offering an alternative to traditional reading practices for Eco.Dis/LEP/Special Ed/struggling readers. PreK-2 <sup>nd</sup> -Implement and monitor effective reading, math, writing, and oral language instruction and intervention strategies for at- risk student performance to ensure increase student performance.	Certified Interventionist- (2 FTEs) Instructional aides- (4.11 FTEs) SCE Total- \$183,942.64	Principal Interventionist Spec. Prog. Director Counselor Director of Student Services	August 2021 - July 2022 SW Comp-2, 3	Teacher test Grade reports Progress monitoring Running records Lesson plans Grade Reports At Risk List	Achieve "Developed" status on MCLASS ITBS Performance Meet or exceed STAAR Ready
<b>Dyslexia</b> (Grade 1 and 2) – Provide dyslexia intervention for dyslexic students using Reading by Design, and Tier II and Tier III reading interventions.	SCE- \$270 (additional dyslexia supplies)	Principal Reading Interventionist	August 2021 - July 2022 SW Comp-2, 9	DRA Reports MCLASS Reports Classroom Assessments	Reading/ELA grades List of exited students
Reading/Math-STAAR Materials and Software - Augment core curricula instruction by using STAAR materials to help students achieve STAAR objectives and provide supplemental instruction to students in need of assistance in reading and math.	SCE-\$3,600 Instructional and software supplies	Principal Teachers Technology Aides	August 2021 - July 2022 SW Comp-2, 9	Teacher test Grade cards STAAR Ready results	Passing applicable component of STAAR in 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade
<b>HOMEBOUND-</b> Provide homebound cademic instruction to identified students as appropriate.	Certified teachers *SCE-\$1,460	Principal	August 2021 - July 2022 SW Comp-2, 9	Tests and quizzes provided by classroom teacher. Grade card	Passing grades Promotion

Strategy/Activity	Resources	Staff Responsible	Timeline & SW Component	Formative Evaluation	Summative
<b>Tutorials-</b> Provide in-school or after-school tutorials for students not meeting objective/standard in order to reduce the gap between "all students" (EcoDis/LEP/Sped).	Certified teachers	Principal Teachers	August 2021 - July 2022 SW Comp-2, 9	Benchmarks Teacher tests Grade reports	Passing applicable component of STAAR Ready
Summer School-Provide accelerated instruction for identified students	SCE - \$9,500 (combined with intermediate campus) ESSER III Local	Principal, Certified Teachers Spec. Prog. Director	August 2021 - July 2022 SW Comp-2,9	Teacher tests Grade cards	Promotion AIP

# Additional Services available to support At-Risk Students (not funded by SCE)

Strategy/Activity	Resources	Staff	Timelines	Formative Evaluation	Summative
		Responsible			Evaluation
Tutor to mitigate COVID learning loss	ESSER III	Principal	August 2021 -	Benchmarks	Passing applicable
		Federal Programs	July 2022	Teacher tests	component of
		Director		Grade reports	STAAR Ready
District SRO will help campuses enforce	Local	Principal	August 2021 -	6 weeks Attendance	Annual
compulsory attendance laws.	City of Devine	Asst. Principal	July 2022	Reports	Attendance
		Attendance clerk		SRO Reports	Reports

# Campus-Level SCE Services 2021-2022

Based upon students' qualifying criteria, the following tables outline each campus specific service funded by State Compensatory dollars. As additional students are identified and student needs are determined, additional services may be added, and others may be modified or deleted.

# **Devine Intermediate School**

Objective: To have 90% of all students disaggregated by ethnicity, gender and income pass all parts of the STAAR.							
Strategy/Activity	Resources	Staff Responsible	Timeline & SW Component	Formative Evaluation	Summative		
Instruction and Intervention – (3-5) Provide reading and dyslexia intervention to low achieving students by offering an alternative to traditional reading practices for Eco.Dis/LEP/Special Ed/struggling readers. Also, implement and monitor effective reading, math, writing, and oral language instruction and intervention strategies for at-risk student performance to ensure increase student performance.	Certified Interventionist- (2 FTEs) Instructional aides- (3.26 FTEs) SCE - \$169,242.49	Principal Interventionist Spec. Prog. Director Counselor Director of Student Services	August 2021 - July 2022 SW Comp- 2,3	Benchmarks Teacher test Grade reports Progress monitoring Running records	STAAR Results Federal Report Card		
<b>Tutorials</b> - Provide in-school, after- school, and Saturday school tutorials for students not meeting objective/standard in order to reduce the gap between "all students" (EcoDis/LEP/Sped).	Certified teachers SCE-\$1,000	Principal Spec. Prog. Director	August 2021 - July 2022 SW Comp- 2,9	Benchmarks Teacher tests Grade reports	Passing applicable component of STAAR		
<b>Dyslexia</b> – Provide dyslexia intervention for dyslexic students using Reading By Design, Lexia and Tier II/Tier III Reading First interventions.	SCE-\$270 (additional dyslexia supplies)	Principal Reading Interventionists Spec. Ed. Director	August 2021 - July 2022	TPRI Reports Classroom Assessments	Reading/ELA grades List of exited students		

Objective: To have 90% of all students disaggregated by ethnicity, gender and income pass all parts of the STAAR.

Strategy/Activity	Resources	Staff Responsible	Timeline & SW Component	Formative Evaluation	Summative			
STAAR Materials and Supplies- Augment core curricula instruction by using STAAR materials to help students achieve STAAR objectives, and provide supplemental instruction to students in need of assistance in reading and math.	SCE-\$3,600 Instructional and software supplies	Principal Teachers Technology Aides	August 2021 - July 2022 SW Comp-2	Teacher test Grade cards	Passing reading and math STAAR Promotion			
*Homebound-Provide homebound academic instruction to identified students as appropriate.	Certified teachers *SCE-\$1,000	Principal	August 2021 - July 2022 SW Comp- 2,9	Tests and quizzes provided by classroom teacher. Grade card	Passing grades Promotion			
Summer School-Provide accelerated instruction for fifth grade students that did not pass the first or second administration of the reading or math STAAR test as outlined in SSI guidelines. (Accelerated instruction for third and fourth grade TBD)	SCE - \$9,500 Certified Teachers- (3.0 FTEs) 1 Certified Aides – (1.0 FTEs) 1 Nurse Asst (1.0 FTE) ESSER III Local	Principal, Certified Teachers GPC Spec. Prog. Director	August 2021 - July 2022 SW Comp- 2,9	Teacher tests Grade cards AIP (Accelerated Instruction Plan)	Promotion AIP			
Additional Services available to su	Additional Services available to support At-Risk Students (not funded by SCE)							
Reading and Math Intervention- "Bronco Time" Implement effective in school reading and math intervention for at-risk students and monitor student performance to ensure increased student achievement.	Local	Principal Classroom teachers Instructional Aides	August 2019 - July 2020 SW Comp- 2,3	Lesson plans Teacher tests Grade reports	STAAR reading, writing, math results. TELPAS results			

Tutor to mitigate COVID learning loss	ESSER III	Principal Federal Programs Director	August 2021 - July 2022	Benchmarks Teacher tests Grade reports	Passing applicable component of STAAR
<b>District SRO</b> will help campuses enforce compulsory attendance laws.	Local City of Devine	Principal Asst. Principal Attendance clerk	August 2019 - July 2020	6 weeks Attendance Reports SRO Reports	Annual Attendance Reports

# Campus-Level SCE Services 2021-2022

Based upon students' qualifying criteria, the following tables outline each campus specific services funded by State Compensatory dollars. As additional students are identified, additional services may be added, and others may be modified or deleted.

## Devine Middle School

Strategy/Activity	Resources	Staff Responsible	Timelines	Formative Evaluation	Summative Evaluation
<b>Instruction:</b> Provide accelerated instruction to students that did not pass the state assessment in order to improve student performance.	Certified Teachers-16 (3.5 FTEs) Instructional aides- 1 (.87 FTEs) Overtime SCE -\$105.631	Principal	August 2021 - July 2022	Teacher tests Grade cards	Pass all classes STAAR results
After School Tutorials-Students in at- risk situations receive individual assistance with class work, homework, and meeting STAAR objectives.	Certified teacher SCE-\$1,040	Principal	August 2021 - July 2022	Teacher tests Grade cards	Passing final grade in all core subjects STAAR results
<b>Dyslexia</b> -Provide dyslexia intervention for dyslexic students using Tier II/Tier III interventions as well Lexia computer program for additional support.	Supplies SCE-\$450	Principal Dyslexia teacher Assessment teacher Special Ed. Director	August 2021 - July 2022	Benchmarks Lexia reports	Reading/ELA grades ELA STAAR

Strategy/Activity	Resources	Staff Responsible	Timelines	Formative Evaluation	Summative Evaluation
Summer School- Provide accelerated nstruction for eighth grade students that did not pass the first or second administration of the reading or math STAAR test and as outlined in SSI guidelines for 8th grade.	Approximately 3-4 certified teachers (3-4 FTEs) Instructional Aide- (1 FTE) Nurse Asst(1 FTE) SCE-\$9,500	Certified Teachers GPC		Teacher tests Grade cards AIP (Accelerated Instruction Plan)	Promotion AIP
smaller class size and more individual nstruction and attention.	(0.8 FTEs) 2 Instr Aide(2 FTEs) Admin- (0.15 FTE) Total salaries- \$112,805 Subs-\$2,500 Supplies -\$5,000 Copier lease-\$4,500 (incorporated w/ HS funds)	Asst Supt of Per/C&I Principals	August 2021 - July 2022	Grade cards	Passing grades Stay in school
<b>JAEP</b> -Participate in Atascosa JJAEP as in alternative to expulsion	SCE-\$6,000 (incorporated w/ HS funds)	Superintendent MS/HS Principals Asst. Principals Asst Supt of Per/C&I	<u> </u>	First semester record of student attendance	Yearly record of student attendance
Homebound Services-Students deemed unfit to attend classes due to nealth or other appropriate reasons are provided homebound services after a review process.	Certified teachers *SCE-\$900	Counselor	August 2021 - July 2022	Grade cards	Passing all core subjects
STAAR Materials-Core curricula s augmented by teachers using STAAR materials to help students achieve STAAR objectives.	Instructional supplies; SCE-\$3,600		August 2021 - July 2022	Teacher tests Grade cards	Passing applicable component of STAAR

**Objective:** To have 90% of all students disaggregated by ethnicity, gender and income pass all parts of the STAAR.

Additional Servi	ces availab	le to support	At-Risk Stude	ents (not funded b	y SCE)
Strategy/Activity	Resources	Staff Responsible	Timelines	Formative Evaluation	Summative Evaluation
Computer Lab – Provide student support and remediation for core subject areas and STAAR. (Mobey Max and Study Island)	Local	Principal	August 2021 - July 2022	Pre/Post Test	Passing STAAR and passing grades
<b>ESL Lab-</b> Provide student support and remediation for ESL students who are new to English. (Rosetta Stone, BrainPop Espanol, Reading Eggs)	Title III SSA	Principal Counselor Spec. Prog. Director	August 2021 - July 2022	Teacher Tests Grade Reports	Passing STAAR and passing grades
District SRO will help campuses enforce compulsory attendance laws.	Local City of Devine	Principal Asst. Principal Attendance clerk	August 2021 - July 2022	6 weeks Attendance Reports SRO Reports	Annual Attendance Reports

# Campus-Level SCE Services 2021-2022

Based upon students' qualifying criteria, the following tables outline each campus specific services funded by State Compensatory dollars. As additional students are identified, additional services may be added, and others may be modified or deleted.

## Devine High School

Objective: To have 90% of all students disaggregated by ethnicity, gender and income pass all parts of the EOC STAAR.

Strategy/Activity	Resources	Staff Responsible	Timelines	Formative Evaluation	Summative Evaluation
TELPAS Testing.	Teachers (1 FTE)	Principal Accelerated Instruction Staff	August- June	Teacher tests Grade cards	Pass all classes EOC STAAR results TELPAS results
Supplemental Instruction - Provide supplemental technology instruction to students in need of assistance in core subject areas.	1 Aide- (1 FTE) SCE-\$18,450	Principal PLATO Counselor Technology Aide	August- June	Teacher tests	Passing final grade in all core subjects STAAR results
objectives	Certified teachers SCE-\$1,000 Supplies	Principal	August- June	Teacher tests	Passing final grade in all core subjects STAAR results
<b>Dyslexia</b> – Provide dyslexia intervention for dyslexic students using Tier II/Tier III interventions as well <u>Lexia</u> computer program for additional support.	SCE-\$270 (supplies) Local	Principal Counselor Spec. Ed. Director	August- June	Benchmarks Lexia reports	Reading/ELA grades ELA STAAR
Summer Tutorials-Certified teachers provide accelerated instruction to students who have failed a STAAR or EOC test and are eligible for re-testing.	Approximately 6 certified teachers SCE-\$4,000	Principal Asst Principal Counselor	August- June	cher tests ress reports	EOC STAAR Results
DAEP-In lieu of long- or short-term suspension, students receive instruction in a facility that provides smaller class size and more individual instruction and attention.	1 certified teacher (0.8 FTEs) 1 Jostr Aide(1 FTEs) 1 Admin- (1 FTE) Total salaries- \$154,842.01 Subs-\$5,000 Supplies -\$3,600 Copier lease-\$4,500 (incorporated w/ MS funds)	DAEP Teachers Asst Supt Per/C&I	August- June	Teacher tests Grade cards	Passing grades Stay in school

Objective: To have 90% of all students disaggregated by ethnicity, gender and income pass all parts of the EOC STAAR.							
Strategy/Activity	Resources	Staff Responsible	Timelines	Formative Evaluation	Summative Evaluation		
JJAEP-Participate in Atascosa JJAEP as an alternative to expulsion	SCE-\$6,000 (incorporated w/ MS funds)	Superintendent MS/HS Principals, Asst Principals Asst Supt Per/C&I	August- June	First semester record of student attendance	Yearly record of student attendance		
*Homebound Services- Students deemed unfit to attend classes due to health or other appropriate reasons are provided homebound services after a review process.	Certified teachers *SCE-\$10,000	Principal	August- June	Teacher tests Grade cards	Passing all core subjects		
STAAR EOC Materials-Core curricula is augmented by teachers using EOC materials to help students achieve EOC objectives.	Instructional supplies SCE-\$3,400	Principal	August- June	Teacher tests Grade cards	Passing applicable component of EOC		

Additional Services available to support At-Risk Students (not funded by SCE)							
PLATO Lab – Provide student support and remediation for core subject areas and STAAR EOC with PLATO software	Local	Principal Principal Counselor Spec. Prog. Director	August- June	Pre/Post Test	EOC results Passing core subjects		
District SRO and DAEP Principal/ District Truancy Officer will help campuses enforce compulsory attendance laws.	Local, City of Devine	Principal Attendance clerk Asst Supt. C&I	August- June	6 weeks Attendance Reports SRO Reports	Annual Attendance Reports		

\*Funds utilized district-wide